>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:
HELLO AND WELCOME TO THE U.S.
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE WIND
ENERGY BROADCASTS I AM CHRISTY
JOHNSON-HUGHES OF THE U.S. FISH
AND WILDLIFE SERVICE AND WE ARE
COMING TO YOU FROM THE NATIONAL
CONSERVATION TRAINING CENTER
STUDIO IN SHEPHERDSTOWN, WEST
VIRGINIA.

AS MANY OF YOU KNOW, WE HAVE BEEN DOING A SERIES OF BROADCAST AND FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVE JOINED US IN THE PAST. WE ACCEPT QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS IN THE CHAT FEATURE OF THE BROADCAST, AND YOU WILL SEE THAT JUST TO THE SIDE OF YOUR SCREEN SO ANY TIME DURING THIS BROADCAST, FEEL FREE TO TYPE IN YOUR QUESTION OR COMMENT AND WE WILL HOLD A QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION AND BE ABLE TO GET BACK TO SOME OF YOUR QUESTIONS. WE WILL BE HANDLING THAT LATER

ON IN THIS BROADCAST.
WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO TODAY IS
TALK ABOUT THE NEXT PHASE OF THE
TIERED APPROACH AND WE HAVE
SEVERAL GUESS WITH US WHO WILL
HELP US TALK ABOUT THE REALITIES
OF DOING POST- CONSTRUCTION

JUST AS A QUICK REFRESHER, LET'S TALK ABOUT THE TIERED APPROACH AND WHERE WE HAVE BEEN.

STUDIES.

I HAVE A PRESENTATION, A FEW SLIDES TO SHARE WITH YOU TODAY ON TIER 4 POST- CONSTRUCTION STUDIES TO ESTIMATE IMPACTS. AND WHERE ARE WE IN THE TIERED APPROACH.

WE STARTED OUR BROADCAST TALKING ABOUT TIERS ONE AND TWO

WHICH IS SITE ASSESSMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION.

MAKING SURE YOU FIND THE BEST POSSIBLE FIGHT WITH THE LOWEST AMOUNT OF RISK.

AND IN OUR SECOND BROADCAST, WE TALKED ABOUT PRECONSTRUCTION STUDIES.

WHAT DO WE NEED TO LOOK FOR BEFORE WE GET INTO ACTUAL CONSTRUCTION OF THE FACILITY AT MUCH LESS OPERATION OF THE FACILITY SO TODAY WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS TALK ABOUT POST- CONSTRUCTION STUDIES. THOSE STUDIES THAT CAN BE DONE AFTER THE FACILITY IS ACTUALLY UP AND OPERATING.

IN POST- CONSTRUCTION STUDIES WE HAVE TO ASSESS SEVERAL THINGS. SECTIONS OF FATALITY RISK AND THE DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS TO HABITAT AND ESSENTIALLY MAKE SURE THAT OUR PREDICTIONS ARE ON TRACK.

WE HAVE TWO SETS OF FIELD STUDIES, TIER 4 8 WHICH ARE FATALITY STUDIES WHICH MOST EQUAL ARE FAMILIAR WITH AND TIER 4 B. WHICH ARE ASSESSING THE DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS TO HABITAT.

IT'S PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT THAT

WE DON'T HAVE THAT CONCEPT LOST. TIER 4 A FATALITY STUDIES REALLY ARE DESIGNED TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR ESTIMATES THAT WE DEVELOPED DURING TIERS ONE, TWO, THREE ARE FOLLOWING WHAT WE ANTICIPATED TO HAPPEN, THAT THE SITE IS NOT EXPERIENCING ANY ADDITIONAL TAKE OF SPECIES THAT THE SPECIES THAT ARE BEING TAKEN ARE THOSE THAT WE ANTICIPATED AND THAT THERE IS NOTHING

BEYOND WHAT WE ANTICIPATED SO IN ORDER TO DO THAT THE WIND ENERGY GUIDELINES ASK THAT YOU COLLECT A MINIMUM OF ONE-YEAR FATALITY INFORMATION OVER ALL SEASONS.

WE REALIZE THAT THIS IS JUST A MINIMUM, A STARTING POINT AND ADDITIONAL TIME IT MAY BE APPROPRIATE FOR HIGH RISK SITES IN THIS INCLUDES CARCASS REMOVAL AND WHETHER WE CAN EVEN DETECT THESE CARCASSES OUT THERE. AS WE'VE DISCUSSED IN THE PACT. YOU'RE PROBABLY FAMILIAR WITH WITH SOME OF YOUR SITES OR IN READING THE RESEARCH A TINY BAT THAT FALLS ON GRAVEL MAY BE EASIER TO DETECT THAN A TINY BAT THAT FALLS INTO A FIELD WITH TALL GRASS. WE HAVE DISCUSSED SOME OF

THESE ISSUES IN THE PRECONSTRUCTION CONTEXT. HERE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE POST-CONSTRUCTION CONTEXT. WE HAVE A GUEST HERE TODAY WHO WILL TALK TO US A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT FATALITY ESTIMATES AND HOW TO REALLY UNDERSTAND THOSE ESTIMATES WHEN YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THE REPORT OR CONSIDER PERFORMING THOSE ESTIMATES. WE ALSO HAVE TIER 4 B. THIS IS THE DIRECT CONSIDERATION IN THESE STUDIES MAY NOT ALWAYS BE WARRANTED BUT IF YOU HAVE A SPECIES OF HABITAT FRAGMENTATION CONCERN AND THAT SPECIES HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED AND MAY BE AT RISK FOR THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT THAT YOU MAY BE INVOLVED WITH. THEN YOU MAY BE DOING DIRECT AND INDIRECT HABITAT IMPACT STUDIES.

AGAIN IT FOLLOWS THE SAME SORT OF TRAJECTORY AS OUR FATALITY ESTIMATES.

THE HABITAT IMPACTS, WERE THEY COMPARABLE WITH WHAT WE ANTICIPATED DURING THE INITIAL TIERS.

OR ARE WE SEEING BEHAVIORAL MODIFICATIONS OR INDIRECT HABITAT IMPACTS THAT WE DID NOT ANTICIPATE?

IS THERE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR MITIGATION?

AND IF MITIGATION HAD BEEN
CONSIDERED PREVIOUSLY, AND WE
HAVE TALKED ABOUT USING
MITIGATION, AND MITIGATION IN TIER
3 PRECONSTRUCTION STUDIES, THEN
IS THAT MITIGATION IS BEING USED, IS
IT DOING WHAT WE NEED IT TO DO OR
DO WE NEED TO TAKE ANOTHER
LOOK AT IT AND SEE IF PERHAPS
THERE MIGHT BE SOME

MODIFICATIONS THAT WOULD BE NEEDED AT THIS TIME.

SO IN SUMMARY, TIER 4 STUDIES ARE MONITORING FATALITIES AND HABITAT IMPACTS AFTER THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF

A FACILITY, WHERE THOSE
PREDICTIONS WE MADE IN EARLIER
TIERS CORRECT, AND ALSO KEEPING
IN MIND WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT TIER
FIVE LONG-TERM RESEARCH THAT

CAN BE DONE WHICH IS NOT DONE AT EVERY FACILITY, BUT IT CAN BE PART OF TIER 4 STUDIES SO IT'S A FACILITY HAS DECIDED TO DO TIER FIVE

RESEARCH STUDIES, THE TIER 4
MIGHT BE PART OF THAT.

AND THROUGH THIS ENTIRE PROCESS, COMMUNICATION IS KEY. IT DOES NOT STOP ONCE THE FACILITY IS BUILT.

WE NEED TO CONTINUE

COMMUNICATING AFTER OPERATION, PARTICULARLY IF SOMETHING GOES AWRY OR SOMETHING HAPPENS THAT WE NEVER ANTICIPATED. THAT IS SORT OF A SUMMARY OF

WHERE WE HAVE BEEN AND WHERE WE ARE IN THE TIERED APPROACH. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO NOW IS INTRODUCE OUR FIRST GUEST WHO WILL TALK TO US ABOUT FATALITY ESTIMATORS.

OUR FIRST GUEST TODAY IS MANUELA FROM THE US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY. AND MANUELA IS A RESEARCH STATISTICIAN WITH THE USGS FOREST AND RAIN ECOSYSTEM IN OREGON ON.

SHE HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN DESIGN AND AMOUNT -- ANALYSIS OF POST- CONSTRUCTION FATALITY MONITORING STUDIES AS WELL AS CURTAILMENT STUDIES AT SEVERAL WIND POWER FACILITY SINCE 2004. HER RECENT STATISTICAL RESEARCH HAS FOCUSED ON DEVELOPING ESTIMATORS OF FATALITIES PARTICULARLY OF RARE AND ENDANGERED SPECIES. LET'S GO AHEAD AND GO TO MANUELA.

CHRISTIE FOR INVITING ME TO COME AND TALK TO YOU TODAY ABOUT ESTIMATING FATALITIES AS PART OF THE FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE GUIDELINES TIER 4 ANALYSIS APPROACH.

AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR INTEREST IN THIS TOPIC.

ONCE I'VE GIVEN YOU A BRIEF
INTRODUCTION TO THE
CONSIDERATIONS WE HAVE IN
ESTIMATING FATALITIES WE WILL GIVE
YOU A CHANCE TO ASK QUESTIONS
OF US.

FIRST, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK, MOST
OF US WOULD NOT BE HERE IF
ESTIMATING FATALITIES WAS EASY.
YOU MIGHT SAY ISN'T IT THE SAME AS
ESTIMATING THE ABUNDANCE OF A
POPULATION WHICH WE ARE ALL
PRETTY WELL USED TO EVEN
THOUGH IT'S A DEAD POPULATION.
IN SOME WAYS THAT'S TRUE, BUT IN
OTHER WAYS THERE ARE UNIQUE
CONDITIONS THAT MAKE IT
DIFFERENT THAN SIMPLY ESTIMATING
ABUNDANCE.

WE HAVE AN OPEN POPULATION IN WHICH CARCASSES CAN LEAVE AND ENTER AT ANY TIME.

WE HAVE A SUPER POPULATION
WHERE WHAT WE ARE INTERESTED IN
ESTIMATING IS NOT WHAT THE
ABUNDANCE IS AT A PARTICULAR
MOMENT LIKE IT MIGHT BE FOR A
DEER POPULATION AND WE ARE
INTERESTED IN ESTIMATING THE
SUPER POPULATION.

THE DENSITY OF OUR POPULATION IS NOT CONSTANT AND IN FACT, THE POPULATION ITSELF IS NOT HOMOGENEOUS.

WE HAVE VERY DIFFERENT SIZES OF BIRDS AND BATS THAT ARE ARRIVING IN SO BECAUSE OF THAT WE HAVE VERY DIFFERENT PROBABILITIES OF DETECTIONS WITH MEMBERS OF OUR POPULATION.

AND THE DIFFERENT SPECIES THEMSELVES ENTER AND LEAVE AT DIFFERENT RATES.

ALL OF THESE THINGS COMBINE TO MAKE ESTIMATING FATALITY AT WIND TURBINES A BIT PROBLEMATIC. THE TIER 4 GUIDELINES SUGGEST THAT WHEN WE ARE DEVELOPING OUR PROTOCOL TO ESTIMATE FATALITY, WE SHOULD KEEP IN MIND THAT WE NEED TO -- THE PROTOCOL

SHOULD BE ADEQUATE TO ANSWER APPLICABLE TIER 4 QUESTIONS AT AN APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF PRECISION. THAT APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF PRECISION IS VERY IMPORTANT. WHAT WE WILL DO TODAY IS EXAMINED THE TIER 4 PROTOCOL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS LISTED KEEPING IN MIND THE CONTEXT OF THE TIER 4 OBJECTIVES. AS WE GO THROUGH THIS, I WOULD

AS WE GO THROUGH THIS, I WOULD LIKE YOU TO KEEP IN MIND OR ASK YOURSELF, WHICH QUESTIONS ARE RELEVANT TO YOUR PARTICULAR SITE?

WHAT LEVEL OF PRECISION DO YOU NEED?

HOW CAN YOU ARRIVE AT THAT LEVEL?

THESE ARE THE TIER 4 QUESTIONS. BUT TODAY WE ARE NOT GOING TO FOCUS ON ALL OF THEM BECAUSE IF WE FOCUS ON JUST THE FIRST ONE, MUCH OF WHAT WE GO THROUGH AND TALK ABOUT TODAY WILL BE APPLICABLE TO ALL THE REST AND WE WILL SEE THAT.

SO JUST TO SET THE STAGE, WE ALL ARE PRETTY WELL AWARE THAT WHAT WE SEE WHEN WE ARE SEARCHING FOR CARCASSES BELOW TURBINES IS NOT WHAT ACTUALLY PROBABLY DIED OUT THERE.

THE REASON IT IS NOT IS FIRST OF ALL, WE DON'T SEARCH ALL OF THE TURBINES.

WE ONLY SEARCH A SAMPLE OF THE TURBINES.

NOT ALWAYS BUT SOMETIMES.
CARCASSES MAY FALL OUTSIDE OUR
DESIGNATED SEARCH PLOT WHICH IS
THE RECTANGLE REPRESENTED IN
THE SCHEMATIC, BUT THEY ALSO MAY
FALL WITHIN OUR SEARCH PLOT, BUT
IN AREAS THAT ARE INACCESSIBLE TO

US SO WE CAN'T FIND THEM BECAUSE WE CAN'T SEARCH THEM.

SOME CARCASSES ARE REMOVED BY SCAVENGERS AND, OF COURSE.

SOME ARE SIMPLY MISSED BY

CHANCE BY THE SEARCHING

PROCESS.

SO THE CONSIDERATIONS THAT THE GUIDELINES SET OUT ALL ADDRESS IN

ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, ARE

FACTORS THAT ALL CONTRIBUTE TO

IMPERFECT DETECTION.

WE WILL GO THROUGH EACH OF

THESE ONE BY ONE.

FIRST, WE WILL START WITH THE

DURATION OF THE MONITORING.

THE DURATION REALLY NEEDS TO

SIMPLY REFLECT YOUR PERIOD OF

INTEREST.

IF IT'S A YEAR, YOU NEED TO MONITOR FOR YEAR IF IT'S A SEASON, YOU NEED TO MONITOR FOR A SEASON.

THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH YOU MONITOR, THAT IS THE SEARCH INTERVAL DURING PERIOD WHAT CAN VERY.

IT IS AND SHOULD BE TRIED -- TIED TO THE REMOVAL PROCESS AND THE

PERSISTENT TIME OF THE

CARCASSES NOT THE ARRIVAL TIME

EVEN THOUGH YOU MIGHT GET MORE

CARCASSES OR MORE ANIMALS ARRIVING IN THE CARCASS

POPULATION DURING A PARTICULAR

PERIOD OF TIME, SAY A FALL SEASON,

THAT DOES NOT NECESSARILY MEAN

YOU NEED TO SHORTEN YOUR

INTERVAL.

IT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO SHORTEN THE INTERVAL IF THE CARCASS PERSISTENCE ALSO IS REDUCED DURING THAT PERIOD.

IT IS EXTREMELY RARE AT THE TIER 4
LEVEL FOR US TO NEED DAILY

SEARCHES TURBINES. THERE IS A HIGH COST TO THAT. IF WE CAN AVOID DAILY SEARCHES ITS BEST AND IT'S OFTEN JUST FINE. THAT SHOULD BE RESERVED FOR THE TIER FIVE PROCESS, FOR RESEARCH. SO ULTIMATELY, THE SEARCH INTERVAL WE USE IS REALLY A TRADE-OFF BETWEEN THE NUMBER OF TURBINES WE CAN SEARCH VERSUS THE SEARCH INTERVAL. SO NOW WE GO TO THE SECOND FACTOR WHICH IS THE NUMBER OF TURBINES TO MONITOR. IN AFFECTED IS NOT REALLY NOT THE NUMBER WE ARE INTERESTED IN BUT THE SAMPLING FRACTION OF THE PROPORTION THAT WE ARE SAMPLING. THIS IS A FACTOR OVER WHICH WE HAVE A LOT OF CONTROL. SO CONSEQUENTLY IT IS VERY IMPORTANT IN OUR PROJECT DESIGN. IF WE HAVE LESS THAN TEN TURBINES AT A SITE, GUIDELINES SUGGEST WE SEARCH 100 PERCENT OF THEM, ALL OF THEM. IF WITH MORE THAN TEN, MY SUGGESTION IS RESEARCH AS MANY AS POSSIBLE. THAT'S A PRIMARY SOURCE OF VARIATION WE ARE INTERESTED IN HIM BEING ABLE TO MEASURE. FOR EXAMPLE, IF WE HAVE THE MONEY TO SEARCH HALF THE TURBINES DAILY, MY SUGGESTION IS WE ACTUALLY APPLY THAT MONEY TO SEARCHING ALL OF THE TURBINES ON A TWO-DAY BASIS THAT IS, OF COURSE, WITH THE CAVEAT THAT THE

PERSISTENCE TIME IS EXTREMELY LOW LIKE ONE DAY THEN MAYBE THAT'S NOT ADEQUATE. BUT FOR

CONTINENTAL U.S. THAT I'M AWARE

MOST OF OUR SITES IN THE

OF, A TWO-DAY SEARCH OR A ONE-DAY SEARCH IS CERTAINLY NOT NECESSARY.

THE NEXT CONSIDERATION IS THE BIAS AND ERROR A ASSESSMENT WHICH COMES DOWN TO SEARCHER EFFICIENCY AND THE PERSISTENCE OF A CARCASS.

BOTH OF THESE HAVE SIMILAR KINDS OF THINGS ONE NEEDS TO THINK ABOUT.

WE NEED TO FIRST OF ALL IDENTIFY AS RESEARCHERS OR MONITORS THE FACTORS THAT WE THINK COULD SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT THESE THINGS.

THESE ARE TYPICALLY THINGS LIKE THE HABITAT IN WHICH WE ARE SEARCHING OR WHICH THE CARCASS GLANCE, THE SIZE OF THE TARGET. THE SEASON, PARTICULARLY FOR CARCASS PERSISTENCE AS THE CARCASS SCAVENGER POPULATION MAY CHANGE WITH THE SEASONS. ONCE WE'VE IDENTIFY THESE FACTORS. WE NEED TO REALIZE WE WILL NEED MANY CARCASSES IN EACH OF THE FACTOR COMBINATIONS IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO RUN TRIALS TO ESTIMATE THE LEVELS OF THESE FACTORS. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE TARGET

ABOUT 20 FOR EACH COMBINATION.
HOWEVER, IF FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF
YOUR COMBINATIONS IS EXPECTED
TO BE RELATIVELY SMALL, SAY A
SMALL CARCASS IN A VERY DIFFICULT
TO SEARCH HABITAT, THEN YOU
PROBABLY NEED A LOT MORE.
IN THIS EXAMPLE, WE HAVE THREE
SIZES OF CARCASSES, THREE
HABITAT TYPES THAT RESULTS IN
NINE DIFFERENT FACTOR
COMBINATION EACH OF WHICH
REQUIRES ABOUT 20 SO WE ARE

LOOKING AT 180 CARCASSES FOR THIS ESTIMATION PROCESS. THIS IS PRETTY MUCH THE SAME IDEA FOR CARCASS PERSISTENCE AS WELL.

WE WANT TO DISTRIBUTE THE CARCASS THROUGHOUT THE PERIOD OF INTEREST, NOT JUST ON ONE DAY OR ONE TURBINE.

NOW I WILL FOCUS FOR A LITTLE BIT ON THE SEARCHER EFFICIENCY COMPONENT.

IN SOME PLACES I'VE SEEN THAT PEOPLE REQUIRE TIME LIMITED SEARCHES.

IN ALL OF THE ESTIMATION PROCESS THAT WE USE, THE TIME INTERVAL IS NOT ACTUALLY PART OF THE PROCESS.

IT IS NATURAL AND MAYBE EVEN ACTUALLY ADVANTAGEOUS FOR US TO SLOW DOWN WHEN WE ARE IN DIFFICULT TO SEARCH HABITATS. IT IS ALSO MEANINGFUL OR USEFUL TO NARROW OUR SEARCH TRANSECT WHEN WE ARE IN DIFFICULT HABITATS.

WHAT IS IMPORTANT IS NOT THAT THE TIME IS CONSTANT OR THE TRANSECT IS CONSTANT, WHAT IS IMPORTANT IS THE PROCESS YOU USE FOR THE ACTUAL SEARCHES IS EXACTLY OR IS THE SAME PROCESS AS IS USED WHEN THE SEARCHERS ARE BEING TESTED.

IF THEY SLOWDOWN IN DIFFICULT HABITATS WHEN THEY ARE NORMALLY SEARCHING, AND THEY SLOW DOWN IN THE SEARCHER EFFICIENCY TRIAL, THEN IT WILL BE REFLECTED.

THAT IS ALL WE NEED IS THAT THE TRIALS ARE DONE UNDER NORMAL PROTOCOL.

WHAT THAT REALLY MEANS IS THE

SEARCHERS CAN'T KNOW WHEN THEY ARE BEING TESTED.

SO WE HAVE TO BE REALLY CAREFUL

WE DON'T GIVE THAT AWAY.

WE CAN DO THAT BY MAKING SURE

THAT WE VARY THE NUMBER OF

CARCASSES THAT WERE PLACED IN

ANY ONE DAY OR AND ANY TURBINE

SO WE KEEP THEM ON THEIR TOES.

TO CONTROL THE SEARCHER

EFFICIENCY IT'S VERY DIFFICULT.

WE HAVE A FEW TOOLS.

WE CAN CLEAR VEGETATION.

THAT'S VERY EXPENSIVE.

WE CAN USE TRAINED DOGS TO

INCREASE EFFICIENCY BUT NOT

MUCH WE CAN DO ABOUT IT.

IT'S PRETTY MUCH A GIVEN.

FOR CARCASS PERSISTENCE, WE

BASED THE CARCASS TRUST BASED

ON THE RELEVANT FACTORS IN THE FACTOR COMBINATIONS.

HERE WE NEED TO GO AND CHECK

THE CARCASSES TO SEE HOW LONG

IT IS A PROCESS.

THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO

REMEASURE, PERSISTENCE TIME.

HERE'S AN EXAMPLE OF A CHECKLIST

OR INTERVAL.

YOU WOULD CHECK OFTEN IN THE

FIRST FEW DAYS, EARLY MONITORING

IS CRITICAL.

AS THE CARCASS PROCESS LONGER

AND LONGER, YOU DON'T NEED TO

CHECK QUITE OFTEN.

YOU COULD CHECK ON THE EIGHTH

DAY, THE TENTH DAY, YOU ARE

SEPARATED BY TWO OR THREE DAYS

AND THEN BY A WEEK.

EARLY ON, THAT'S WHERE IT'S

CRITICAL.

WE WILL SEE THAT LATER.

WHAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR IS NOT

WHETHER THE CARCASS IS THERE

PARTICULARLY FOR BIRDS BUT

WHETHER THERE'S EVIDENCE OF THE CARCASS.

THAT MAY BEAT IN TERMS OF FEATHER SPOTS.

IF THERE'S A FEATHER SPOT EVEN THOUGH THE BODY OF THE BIRD IS NOT THERE THERE'S EVIDENCE THAT IT WAS THERE.

THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED STILL PERSISTING.

THE TRICK WITH THIS WHOLE AFFAIR, THIS IS A TOUGH ONE, IS THAT THESE DATA ARE WHAT WE CALL CENSORED. THAT IS WE DON'T ACTUALLY

OBSERVE THE POINT AT WHICH THE CARCASS IS REMOVED.

WE ONLY OBSERVE FOR THE MOST PART AND INTERVAL.

THAT IS FOR EXAMPLE, IF I WENT OUT THERE AND I'M CHECKING A CARCASS ON THE TENTH DAY IT'S STILL THERE BUT I GO BACK ON THE 14TH DAY AND IT'S GONE, WHAT I KNOW IS THE INTERVAL IN WHICH IT WAS REMOVED. THAT FEEDS INTO THE CARCASS PERSISTENCE MODEL ESTIMATION THAT WE HAVE.

SO WE MODEL PERSISTENCE TIME.
USING WELL KNOWN SURVIVAL
TECHNIQUES -- SURVIVAL ANALYSIS
TECHNIQUES.

FROM THOSE MODELS THAN WE DON'T ACTUALLY USE THE PERSISTENCE TIME ITSELF, BUT WE USE THE MODEL WE DEVELOPED AND FROM AN ESTIMATE THE FRACTIONS OF CARCASSES THAT WE EXPECT TO PERSIST THROUGH OUR INTERVAL UNTIL THE NEXT SEARCH.
HERE IS AN EXAMPLE OF 4 DIFFERENT

HERE IS AN EXAMPLE OF 4 DIFFERENT MODELS THAT COME FROM SURVIVAL ANALYSIS MODEL APPLICATIONS. ALL FOUR OF THEM ACTUALLY HAVE THE SAME AVERAGE PERSISTENCE TIME.

BUT THEY HAVE A VERY DIFFERENT WAY OF ARRIVING AT THAT.

THE GREEN ONE IS ONE IN WHICH THE CARCASSES PROCESSED QUITE WELL

EARLY ON BUT THEN PERHAPS THESE

ARE ALL FACTORY SCAVENGERS. THEY DON'T DETECT THEM RIGHT

AWAY.

ONCE THEY DETECT THAN THEY ARE REMOVED RELATIVELY RAPIDLY.

THE RED ONE IS ONE IN WHICH THEY

ARE REMOVED QUITE RAPIDLY

INITIALLY WHEN THEY ARE FRESH.

AND AFTER WHILE THEY'RE NOT SO

TASTY ANYMORE AND THEY START TO

PERSIST MUCH MORE READILY.

EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE AN

AVERAGE PERSISTENCE TIME, THE

PROBABILITY OF PERSISTING WANT

TO DEVELOP THE MODELS WOULD

THAT BE THE SAME FOR THESE.

THE GREEN ONE WE HAVE A 76

PROBABILITY FOR THE INTERVAL AND

THE RED ONE IS ONLY ABOUT

50 PERCENT, QUITE DIFFERENT.

THIS IS WHERE THE MODEL ACTUALLY

MAKES A HUGE DIFFERENCE.

WE NEED USE USE SURVIVAL

ANALYSIS TOOLS.

THERE'S A PACKAGE PUT OUT

BYE-BYE O3, AN ORGANIZATION OUT

OF PORTUGAL.

THERE'S ALSO AT USGS DATA SERIES

729 ON THE WEB THAT WILL CARRY A SURVIVAL ANALYSIS IN THE CONTEXT

OF WIND TURBINES.

SO HOW DO WE CONTROL FOR

AVERAGE PERSISTENCE TIME?

WE DON'T.

IT'S REALLY DIFFICULT.

IT'S A FUNCTION OF THE PRESSURE

RIGHT NOW.

WE COULD FENCE OUT OR TRAP OF

SCAVENGERS.

IT'S EXPENSIVE, BUT WE COULD DO IT.

BUT CONTROLLING THE PROBABILITY OF PERSISTENCE IS A MUCH EASIER THING TO DO.

WE DO THAT BY SIMPLY

CONTROLLING THE LENGTH OF THE INTERVAL.

THE LONGER THE INTERVAL THE LESS LIKELY IT IS PERSISTING THREAT THE INTERVAL SO THAT'S AN EASY THING TO CHANGE.

TRANSECT WITH.

THE NEXT COMPONENT HAS TO DO WITH HOW WE DELINEATE THE SEARCH BOX.

IT'S PRETTY MUCH A FUNCTION OF THE BRUSHY NEST OF THE TERRAIN THE SIZE OF THE SEARCH PLOT WE WILL RECOMMEND THAT THE FULL WIDTH OF THE PLOT BE TWICE THAT TURBINE HEIGHT.

OF COURSE, IT WOULD BE LARGER FOR RAPTORS AND BIRDS.

BUT ONCE WE HAVE A DESIGNATED SEARCH PLOT, HOW DO WE ACCOUNT

FOR UNSEARCHABLE AREAS?

EVEN MORE THAN THAT, DO WE

ACTUALLY HAVE TO SEARCH THE

WHOLE AREA?

HERE IS A SCHEMATIC OF THE LOCATIONS OF WHICH BAT CARCASSES WERE FOUND RELATIVE TO THE TURBINES AND THIS IS A COMPOSITE ACROSS SEVERAL SITES. WHAT YOU SHOULD NOTICE IS THE DENSITY IS NOT CONSTANT.

THE OUTER RING IS 20 METERS,

THERE ARE VERY FEW CARCASSES AND THE INNER 20-METER RING IT IS

QUITE HIGH.

IF WE WERE TO TAKE THIS PLOT THAT IS 130 BY 130 METERS AND REDUCE IT BY 25 PERCENT, THAT 25 PERCENT WAS TAKEN AWAY FROM THE PERIMETER OF THE PLOT WE WOULD LOSE ALMOST NOTHING IN TERMS OF

THE CARCASSES THAT ARE LANDING THERE.

HOWEVER, IF WE TOOK IT OUT OF THE CENTER 25 PERCENT, WE WOULD LOSE ABOUT 85 PERCENT OF THE CARCASSES.

SO IT'S NOT JUST WHAT AREA WE ARE SEARCHING, BUT IT IS NOT THE FRACTION OF THE PLOT THAT IS SEARCHABLE BUT A QUESTION OF WHAT FRACTION OF THE CARCASSES WERE IN THE SEARCHABLE AREA, WERE POSSIBLY DETECTABLE. HERE IS A 60-METER RADIUS POT, SAME KIND OF IDEA.

LET'S REDUCE THE AREA, THE RADIUS BY HALF.

SEARCH ONLY A 30-METER PLOT. WE REDUCE THE AREA -- I'M SORRY THE RADIUS BY HALF, BUT IN SO DOING WE'VE

RESEARCHED -- REDUCE THE SEARCH AREA BY THREE QUARTERS AND WE'VE ONLY MISSED OR FORCED OURSELVES TO MISS ABOUT ONE QUARTER OF THE CARCASSES. THIS IS SOMETHING WE PROBABLY NEED TO CONSIDER. IN PRACTICE, THE AREAS THAT WE

SEARCH ARE REALLY NOT REGULAR
SO HOW DO WE GO ABOUT
ESTIMATING WHAT FRACTION OF
CARCASSES ARE IN THOSE AREAS.
WE DEVELOP MODELS OF DENSITY AS
A FUNCTION OF DISTANCE FROM THE
TURBINE.

I CAN TAKE THOSE MODELS AND THEN TAKE THAT DENSITY AND PROJECT IT AS A THREE DIMENSIONAL OBJECT. SO THIS THREE DIMENSIONAL CONE IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DENSITY AND HOW IT CHANGES WITH DISTANCE.

ITS VOLUME IS EQUAL TO ONE. SO IF I CAN JUST CUT OUT THE

PORTION OF THAT CONE THAT I CANNOT SEARCH, I CAN ESTIMATE WHAT FRACTION OF THE CARCASSES REMAINED OBSERVABLE, NOT NECESSARILY OBSERVED BUT OBSERVABLE IN THAT SEARCH AREA. IN THIS CASE I TOOK AWAY 75 PERCENT OF THE PHYSICAL AREA OF THE PLOT BUT LEFT MYSELF WITH 60 PERCENT OF THE CARCASSES. SO IF FOR EXAMPLE, I HAD FOUND 50 CARCASSES IS AN AREA THIS SIZE. WITHOUT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE CHANGE IN DENSITY, I WOULD ESTIMATE THERE ARE 200 THAT WERE KILLED WHERE AS A MUCH CLOSER ESTIMATE WOULD BE 83 BECAUSE I KNOW THAT I'VE COMPRISED ABOUT 60 PERCENT, NOT JUST 25 PERCENT OF THE CARCASSES. SO WHEN WE MODEL DENSITY, THE DIFFICULT PART OF IT IS WE NEED A LOT OF LOCATION DATA. SO FOR THINGS LIKE EAGLES, LARGE RAPTORS WE DON'T HAVE A LARGE DATA SO IT MAY BE DIFFICULT. BUT WE CAN DEVELOP ALTERNATIVES. WE WILL ALSO NEED DATA AND FAR DISTANCES MAYBE UP TO 150 METERS SO THAT WHEN WE SEARCH -- IF WE DECIDE A 30-METER SEARCH RADIUS WILL BE APPROPRIATE WE STILL NEED TO

THE HABITAT MAPS ARE WHAT
DEFINED THE SEARCHED AREA AND
WHAT WE USED TO EXTRACT WHAT
PROPORTION OF CARCASSES WE
BELIEVE ARE IN OUR SEARCH AREA.
WE CAN CONTROL THIS FACTOR BY
PRIMARILY BY FOCUSING ON THE
SEARCH AREAS OF HIGHEST DENSITY.

SEARCH RATHER THAN THAT IN SOME AREAS, BUT IT COULD BE ACHIEVED BY SEARCHING THE ROADS AND EASY

AREAS.

THE NEXT FACTOR IS THE GENERAL SEARCH PROTOCOL.

THEY LIST SEVERAL THINGS.

THEY ALL FEED INTO ESTIMATIONS WHETHER IT IS TO THE PROPORTION

OF SEARCHED AREA OR THE

PERSISTENCE OR THE SEARCHER

EFFICIENCY.

THE ONE PIECE I WANT TO POINT OUT

THEY DID NOT NECESSARILY

MENTION WAS THAT NOT ONLY DO WE

NEED THE DATE THAT THE CARCASS

WAS FOUND, BUT WE ALSO NEED THE

DATE OF THE MOST RECENT SEARCH

THAT WAS CONDUCTED AT THAT

PARTICULAR TURBINE.

THAT IS WHAT ALLOWS US TO

ESTIMATE THE PERSISTENCE

PROBABILITY.

NOW WE PUT THIS ALL TOGETHER

INTO AN ESTIMATOR.

THIS IS SORT OF THE GENERAL FORM

OF MOST ESTIMATORS.

WE HAVE K. EQUALS ALL THE

DIFFERENT FACTOR COMBINATIONS,

C. IS THE NUMBER OF CARCASSES WE

FIND IN ANY FACTOR COMBINATION, F.

IS THE FRACTION OF TURBINES WE SAMPLE.

S. IS THE PROPORTION OF

CARCASSES IN THE SEARCHED AREA

BENEATH THOSE TURBINES.

AND P. AND R. ARE THE SEARCHER

EFFICIENCY AND THE PROBABILITY OF

PERSISTING THROUGH INTERVAL.

ALL OF THOSE FACTORS ARE

BETWEEN ZERO AND ONE AND ALL OF

THEM COMBINE MULTIPLICATIVE LEAD

TO FORM WHAT WE CALL THE

GENERAL OR THE OVERALL

PROBABILITY OF DETECTION WHICH

I'VE NOTED AS G.

LET'S GO THROUGH AN EXAMPLE.

IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE WE HAVE

EIGHT OUT OF 19 TURBINES THAT

WAS A SIGHT OF THE SEARCH.
WE HAVE WITHIN THE SEARCH AREA,
WE ESTIMATE THERE'S 85 PERCENT
OF THE CARCASSES WILL FALL.
THE PROBABILITY OF PERSISTING A
75 PERCENT AND THE PROBABILITY
THE SEARCHER WILL FIND
SOMETHING ON THE GROUND IS
60 PERCENT.

THIS IS FOR SOME PARTICULAR FACTOR COMBINATION AT A SITE. OVERALL PROBABILITY OF DETECTION FOR THAT COMBINATION FACTOR IS .16.

FROM THAT, IF FOR EXAMPLE, WE FOUND 30 CARCASSES AND WE DIVIDE BY .16, WE WOULD GET AN ESTIMATE OF 187.5.

I WILL TELL YOU RIGHT NOW, THAT IS WRONG.

ALMOST ALL ESTIMATES ARE WRONG AND IT'S NOT JUST WRONG BECAUSE IT'S A FRACTION AND CARCASSES DON'T COME INFRACTIONS BUT IT'S A WRONG BECAUSE THERE IS NO UNCERTAINTY ASSOCIATED WITH IT. WE DO NOT KNOW THAT THERE ARE 187.5 OUT THERE.

WE ONLY KNOW THERE ARE ABOUT THAT MANY.

SO WE NEED TO INCORPORATE UNCERTAINTY AND WE DO THAT BY REALIZING THAT THE ESTIMATE FOR OUR FRACTION OF CARCASSES IN THE SEARCHED AREA IS JUST THAT AN ESTIMATE.

IT RANGES IN THIS CASE 72-98 PERCENT.

SAME WITH PERSISTENCE AND SEARCHER EFFICIENCY.

I WILL NOTE THAT THE FRACTION OF TURBINES, NO ERROR ON THAT ONE. NO VARIANTS.

WE KNOW HOW MANY TURBINES WE SEARCHED.

WE TAKE ALL OF THOSE AND LOOK AT THE VARIANTS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT AND NOW ARE PROBABILITY OF DETECTION IS NOT JUST .16, BUT IT RANGES SOMEWHERE BETWEEN .07 AND .0 25.

THE CONSEQUENCES OF THAT IS OUR ESTIMATE OF FATALITY ITSELF WILL HAVE A RANGE OF 120-360.

THAT IS A 230 CARCASS DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE LOWEST AND THE HIGHEST.

SO WHAT DO WE DO?

IN THIS EXAMPLE I HAVE INCREASED ALL OF THOSE FACTORS OVER WHICH WE HAVE SOME CONTROL AND BROUGHT THEM TO A HIGH LEVEL SO THAT OUR OVERALL PROBABILITY OF DETECTION IS ABOUT .07.

AT THE SAME SITE WITH THE
DETECTION OF .7 WE WILL SEE MORE
CARCASSES SO LET'S SAY WE SEE
131, WE ARE STILL ESTIMATING
ABOUT 185 CARCASSES, JUST LIKE
WE DID BEFORE.

BUT, THE VARIANTS ON THESE
COMPONENTS IS QUITE A BIT
SMALLER AND OUR PROBABILITY OF
DETECTION RANGES FROM .63-.77.
THE RESULTS BEING THAT THE
ULTIMATE ESTIMATE OF FATALITY
NOW RANGES FROM 170-206.
THAT'S ABOUT A 35 CARCASSES
RANGE IN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE

LOWEST AND THE HIGHEST. MUCH, MUCH MORE PRECISE.

THE POINT IS, INCREASE YOUR PROBABILITY OF DETECTION AND YOU WILL DECREASE THE UNCERTAINTY IN YOUR ESTIMATE.

SO THERE ARE SEVERAL ESTIMATORS OF FATALITY AVAILABLE.

I HAVE DEVELOPED ONE, SCHONFELD IS OFTEN LEAD USED BUT NOT BEEN PUBLISHED IN A PEER REVIEW LITERATURE.

IT'S CERTAINLY USED BY A LOT OF

PEOPLE.

CORNER HAS PUBLISHED AN

ESTIMATOR.

WOLPERT IS DEVELOPING ONE, AS

FAR AS I KNOW IT'S NOT YET

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.

ALL OF THESE ARE ASSUMPTIONS.

IF THE ASSUMPTIONS OF THESE ARE

MET, I THINK THAT ALL OF THEM WILL

PRETTY MUCH ESTIMATE THE SAME

THING.

BUT IT'S CRITICAL THAT THE

ASSUMPTIONS BE MET.

THE FIRST THREE HAVE BEEN

INCORPORATED INTO THE USGS DATA

SERIES 729 AND THE LAST ONE

PERHAPS WILL BE ONCE IT'S

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.

ALL OF THESE COMMENT NO MATTER

WHICH ONE NEED A VARIANT

ESTIMATE AND THAT'S DIFFICULT.

IT'S VERY DIFFICULT -- VERY HARD TO

DEVELOP A VARIANT ON THIS

FUNCTION THAT WE HAVE SO RECENT

VARIATIONS, THE ONE I USE IS A

BOOT STRAP IT TO WELL KNOWN

DEVICE AND WOLPERT I BELIEVE

USES A CLOSED FORM SOLUTION AND

OTHER PEOPLE HAVE USED WHAT IS

CALLED A SOLUTION YOU CAN WRITE

AN EQUATION TO THE VARIANTS

WERE A BOOTSTRAP THERE'S NO

EQUATION WRITTEN IT'S A PROCESS

THAT ALLOWS YOU TO ESTIMATE

THAT WITHOUT WRITING ANY

QUESTION AND MAKING

ASSUMPTIONS.

THOSE ALTERNATIVES, NOT THE

WOLPERT ONE BUT THE ALTERNATIVE

SOLUTIONS HAVE RESULTED IN

NEGATIVE LIMITS THAT HAVE BEEN

REPORTED IN THE LITERATURE.

SO THIS BRINGS ME TO A REALLY

IMPORTANT POINT.

IF YOU ARE GIVEN A REPORT, AND

THERE IS NO ESTIMATE -- SORRY

THERE IS NO MEASURE OF

UNCERTAINTY AS TO SAY WITH YOUR

ESTIMATE, PLEASE SEND IT BACK.

IN FACT,, USE YOUR COMMON SENSE.

IF THERE'S AN ESTIMATE OF

UNCERTAINTY BUT IT'S AS SMALL AS

NEGATIVE 150, SEND IT BACK.

I KNOW THE STATISTICS ARE

DIFFICULT BUT USUALLY WE ARE NOT

UNREASONABLE.

WE NEED TO USE COMMON SENSE.

A QUESTION ARISES, THE SECOND

QUESTION THAT WE ARE

ADDRESSING IS WHAT ARE THE

FATALITY RATES OF SPECIES OF

CONCERN?

WE OF CURRENT PROPOSALS TO

LIMIT -- TO PERMIT LIMITED NUMBER

OF COLLISION CAUSED DEATHS OF

CERTAIN SPECIES.

THE LIMITS OF OUR DIRECT FROM

POPULATION MODELS AND COLLISION

RISK MODELS, BUT ONE QUESTION IS

HOW DO WE KNOW WHEN THEY ARE

EXCEEDED?

SOMETIMES THE ANSWER GIVEN IS

WE CAN ESTIMATE FATALITY USING

OUR CURRENT POST-CONSTRUCTION PROTOCOLS.

MANIT TO DOINT OF

I WANT TO POINT OUT THOSE

PROTOCOLS ARE NOT DESIGNED TO

DETECT WHEN CARCASSES -- TO BE ABLE TO ESTIMATE WELL WHEN THE

CARCASS POPULATION IS VERY LOW.

THEY ARE DESIGNED FOR WHEN THE

CARCASS POPULATION IS RELATIVELY

HIGH.

THEY ARE DEFINITELY INADEQUATE FOR A SMALL POPULATION WHICH IS

WHAT WE EXPECT WHEN WE ARE

DEALING WITH T. AND E. SPECIES.

THERE IN ADEQUATE TO DETECT

WHEN A LIMIT HAS BEEN EXCEEDED. SO THE CURRENT ESTIMATORS WE HAVE CANNOT ESTIMATE ANYTHING BUT ZERO WHEN WE OBSERVE ZERO. THERE IS PULMONARY WORK GOING ON RIGHT NOW ON USING BAYESIAN ESTIMATORS TO BE ABLE TO DETECT WHETHER IT LIMITS MIGHT BE EXCEEDED.

THAT'S ABOUT ALL I WILL SAY ABOUT THAT BECAUSE THIS IS A TOPIC THAT WILL PROBABLY TAKE UP ON ANOTHER SESSION.

LET'S RETURN TO THE TIER 4 QUESTIONS.

I'VE KIND OF GROUPED THEM INTO SETS.

THESE THREE BASICALLY HAVE TO DO IS SIMPLY ESTIMATION.

WHAT IS THE FATALITY OF BIRDS AND BATS?

WHAT IS THE FATALITY OF SPECIES OF CONCERN?

WHAT'S THE FATALITY OF

PARTICULAR SUBSETS OF BIRDS AND BATS?

WITH RESPECT TO THE FIRST ONE, WHAT OUR BIRD AND THAT FATALITY RATES?

QUESTIONS HAVE COME UP ABOUT HOW DO WE PRESENT THOSE DATA SHOULD WE PRESENT THEM PER TURBINE, PER MEGAWATT NAMEPLATE, PER ACTUAL MEGAWATT HOUR PRODUCTION OR MAYBE EVEN PER 10,000 METERS SQUARED OF ROAD SWEPT AREA.

ALL OF THESE ARE REASONABLE AND MIGHT ACTUALLY SERVE TO BETTER BE ABLE TO COMPARE ACROSS SITES WITH DIFFERENT TURBINES SIZES AND CONFIGURATIONS.

DIFFERENT TURBINES SIZES.

WHAT I WOULD LIKE YOU TO KEEP IN MIND IS ALL ARE DERIVED FROM A

BASIC MEASURE WHICH IS THE PER TURBINE FATALITY RATE. I THINK THESE ARE VERY, VERY

USEFUL, BUT IT DOES NOT MEAN WE WILL GET RID OF OUR SAMPLING PROCESS.

THAT IS STILL A TURBINE BY TURBINE PROCESS BUT REPORTING IT I THINK IS A GREAT IDEA TO TRY AND CHANGE TO ALTERNATIVE MEASURES.

IN ESTIMATING -- I GUESS THAT'S IT.

SO THE NEXT SET OF TIER 4 QUESTIONS HAVE TO DO WITH COMPARISONS.

TO THE ESTIMATED FATALITY RATES COMPARE WITH WHAT WE PREDICTED, DO THEY COMPARE WITH NEIGHBORING SITES AND HOW TODAY -- ARE THEY LARGE ENOUGH THAT THEY WOULD CAUSE US TO HAVE CONCERNS AND LEAD TO

OTHER MEASURES TO REDUCE IMPACT?

THESE ARE ALSO GOOD COMPARISON QUESTIONS.

WHEN WE ARE ADDRESSING THOSE IT'S REALLY CRITICAL THAT WE KEEP IN MIND UNCERTAINTY.

UNCERTAINTY MATTERS.

WE REALLY HAVE TO UNDERSTAND
THAT BOTH THE LOWER AND THE
UPPER CONFIDENCE LIMITS PLAY IN.
WE DON'T REALLY KNOW WHERE THE
TRUTH LIES WITHIN THAT
CONFIDENCE LIMIT.

ALL WE KNOW IS FOR THE MOST PART IT WILL BE SOMEWHERE IN THERE. SO IF WE NEED NARROW NEST WE NEED A PRECISE ANSWER AND WE NEED TO DESIGN TO ACHIEVE THAT PRECISION.

THE FINAL QUESTION IS A RELATIONSHIP QUESTION. DOO BIRD AND BETH FATALITIES VARY WITH RELATIONS TO SITE

CHARACTERISTICS?
IT'S AN IMPORTANT QUESTION AND A
DIFFICULT QUESTION TO ANSWER
WITHOUT A LOT OF OBSERVED
FATALITIES AND VARIATION ACROSS
THE SITES.

IT'S SOMETHING WHERE IF THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT TO ANSWER, THE MORE TURBINES YOU SEARCH, THE BETTER.

SO WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO CONCLUDE BY SAYING CAN WE ANSWER THE TIER 4 QUESTIONS.

THE ANSWER IS YES.

WE DEFINITELY CAN.

WHEN WE ARE DOING SO THOUGH. WE HAVE TO BE SURE WE KEEP IN MIND ALL THE TIME THE VARIANCES ASSOCIATE WITH THE ESTIMATES WE ARE USING TO MAKE OUR INFERENCE. TO UNDERSTAND OUR SYSTEM. WITH THAT I WOULD LIKE TO CLOSE AND THANK CHRISTY FOR INVITING ME, THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE AND THE USGS FOR THEIR FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR THIS RESEARCH OR THE WORK THAT I DO AND DEFINITELY MY COLLEAGUES DAVID DALE AND DAN GO FORTH FOR THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS. FINALLY, I WANT YOU TO REMEMBER I WILL TAKE VERY LIBERALLY FROM

CERTAIN. AND ACTUALLY IT SAYS NEVER BEING ABLE TO SAY YOU ARE CERTAIN. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

ERICH SEGAL STATISTICS MEANS NEVER HAVING TO SAY YOU'RE

WITH THAT I THINK I WILL MOVE OVER WITH CHRISTY AND TAKE YOUR QUESTIONS.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THAT GREAT PRESENTATION AND WE WOULD LIKE TO INVITE OUR AUDIENCE TO TYPE IN ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE INTO THE CHAT BOX OR YOU CAN USE THE E-MAIL ADDRESS IF YOU HAVE A LONGER QUESTION.

ALSO MANUELA DID A LONGER
VERSION OF HER PRESENTATION
THAT WE WILL PUT UP ON THE
WEBSITE SO THAT IF YOU WOULD LIKE
TO HAVE FURTHER INFORMATION
WITH MORE OF THE REFERENCES,
YOU MAY ASK SAYS MANUELA'S
LONGER PRESENTATION ONCE THAT
LINK IS UP YOU BE ABLE TO GET TO
THAT.
WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO NOW IS

TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE TO MANUELA ABOUT HER RESEARCH AND SOME QUESTIONS THAT WE HAVE BEEN RECEIVING FROM OUR AUDIENCE.
MANUELA, LET'S GO AHEAD AND GET TO SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS.
SO HOW DOES TIER 4 WORK FOR FACILITIES OPERATIONAL WHEN THE GUIDELINES ARE FINAL BUT WHERE THE FACILITY HAS LIMITED BASELINE SURVEY RESULTS?
THAT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE THE FACILITY MAY NOT HAVE COLLECTED TIER 3, POST- CONSTRUCTION DATA AND THEY MAY NOT HAVE COLLECTED IMMEDIATE TIER 4 POST

AND THEY MAY NOT HAVE COLLECTED
IMMEDIATE TIER 4 POST
CONSTRUCTION DATA OPERATIONAL,
A YEAR AND A HALF OR TWO YEARS
AGO.
SO THEY MAY HAVE VERY LIMITED

DATA IF I UNDERSTAND THIS
KARESH -- QUESTION CORRECTLY.
IF THAT'S THE CASE, WE DO
ENCOURAGE ANY FACILITY TO BEGIN
LOSING THE GUIDELINES WERE
EVER -- BEGAN USING THE
GUIDELINES WHEREVER
APPROPRIATE.
IF THEY WERE OPERATIONAL WHEN

THE GUIDELINES WERE FINALIZED THEY MAY STILL BE WORTH THEIR WHILE TO ENGAGE IN POST-CONSTRUCTION STUDIES REALIZING IT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO COMPARE THAT WITH PRECONSTRUCTION, YOU DON'T HAVE PRECONSTRUCTION DATA. BUT IT MAY PROVIDE SOME DATA THAT WE CAN COMPARE TO OTHER WIND FACILITIES IN A SIMILAR AREA. SO EVEN THOUGH IT YOU MAY NOT HAVE A STRONG DATA SET TO USE. THERE MAY BE STILL SOME UTILITY COLLECTING THAT DATA. THE FACILITY MAY ALSO WANT TO GO INTO TIER FIVE AND TRADITIONAL RESEARCH STUDIES.

IT'S SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT WITH YOUR LOCAL SERVICE FIELD OFFICE AND YOUR STATE REPRESENTATIVES. LET'S MOVE ON TO ANOTHER

QUESTION.

HOW DOES THE MODEL CHANGE IF THE SEARCHES DONE WEEKLY? DOES IT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT TOPOGRAPHY OR ANY OTHER FACTORS THAT MIGHT MAKE SOME PARTS OF THE FACILITY MORE LIKELY TO HAVE FLIGHTS? LONG AND INVOLVED QUESTION THERE.

>>MANUELA HUSO: I THINK THAT'S AN INTERESTING QUESTION. THE QUESTION ABOUT THE FLIGHTS IS SOMETHING I HOPE IS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT WHEN YOU ARE DETERMINING WHICH TURBINES TO SAMPLE.

WHAT DO YOU THINK MANUELA?

AS STATISTICIANS, WE ALWAYS THEY TAKE A RANDOM SAMPLE AND BY TAKING A RANDOM SAMPLE YOU WILL MORE OR LESS A SURE YOU HAVE REPRESENTATION OF THE VARIATION IN FLIGHTS AND CONSEQUENTLY
THEIR RISK THAT MIGHT BE A SISSY
WITH EACH OF THOSE TURBINE SO A
RANDOM SAMPLE OF TURBINES IF
YOU'RE NOT SEARCHING THEM ALL IS
DEFINITELY IN ORDER.

THE QUESTION OF WEEKLY, THAT IS THE SORT OF INFORMATION YOU PROVIDE TO THE ESTIMATORS. SO THE ESTIMATORS ARE NOT LIMITED BY YOUR SEARCHES. YOU CAN HAVE SEARCHES ON A DAILY BASIS, WEEKLY, OR MONTHLY

YOU CAN EVEN HAVE IT ON AN IRREGULAR BASIS FOR SOME OF THE ESTIMATIONS.

BASIS IF APPROPRIATE.

WHAT WE WANT TO TRY TO ASK YOU TO THINK ABOUT WHEN YOU ARE DESIGNING HOWEVER, IS THE INTERVAL YOU CHOOSE TO SEARCH AT IS SOMEWHAT BASED ON WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE RISK OF SCAVENGING.

SO YOU DON'T HAVE AN INTERVAL

THAT IS SO WIDE THAT MOST OF YOUR CARCASSES WILL BE GONE BY THE TIME YOU GO LOOK FOR THEM OR SO NARROW THAT YOU ARE ALMOST WASTING MONEY AND EFFORT BECAUSE HARDLY ANY OF THEM HAVE BEEN TAKEN AWAY. SO IT'S A TRADE-OFF AND A BALANCE THAT THE ESTIMATOR CAN ADJUST FOR DIFFERENT SEARCH AREAS. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: THANK YOU.

LET'S GET TO ANOTHER QUESTION.
DOO METHODS CHANGE WHEN
TALKING ABOUT LARGER BIRDS FOR
EXAMPLE, WITH A 75 METERS CIRCLE
AROUND US IRVINE IN
APPROXIMATELY 20 DAY INTERVAL BE
ACCEPTABLE?
THIS WOULD BE ON THE SCALE OF

RAPTORS.

>>MANUELA HUSO: THE ANSWER IS

YES, AND NO.

IN APPROPRIATE

CONVICTIONS -- CONDITIONS

PERHAPS THAT'S ACCEPTABLE.

BUT IT ALL DEPENDS WHAT YOUR

INDIVIDUAL SITE PREDICTIONS ARE.

IF THERE'S RAPTOR

PRESSURE -- SORRY SCAVENGER

PRESSURE IS HIGH THAN A 20 DAY

INTERVAL IS NOT NARROW ENOUGH.

SO THAT IS REALLY SOMETHING THAT

IS DETERMINED ON A STATE BY STATE

LEVEL.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THANK YOU.

SO WE HAVE ONE QUESTION HERE

ABOUT SPECIES OF HABITAT

MENTATION CONCERNS.

LET'S GET TO THAT WITH OUR NEXT

SET OF GUESS AND FOCUS ON THIS

QUESTION ABOUT IS THERE A

PARTICULAR MATHEMATICAL MODEL

U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

RECOMMENDS?

I FEEL LIKE SAYING YES, AND NO TO

THAT AS WELL.

AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS WE

WORK VERY CLOSELY WITH THE

USGS AND WITH MANUELA TO PUT

TOGETHER THESE STATISTICAL

MODELS BECAUSE WE SEE A NEED FOR THESE AND USGS HAS HELPED

DEVELOP THESE MODELS.

THESE ARE CERTAINLY MODELS THAT

WE PREFER TO USE.

WE HAVE A VESTED INTEREST IN

THEM AND WE FEEL ANSWER THE

QUESTIONS THAT WE ARE POSING.

WE DO KNOW THAT THERE ARE

OTHER RESOURCES OUT THERE THAT

PEOPLE CAN USE AND MANUELA.

YOU'VE TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT

SOME OF THOSE RESOURCES.

DID YOU WANT TO BRING UP

ANYTHING FURTHER? >>MANUELA HUSO: //

AS I SAID IN MY

TALK, THE ESTIMATORS THAT WE

HAVE CURRENTLY AVAILABLE HAVE

DIFFERENT ASSUMPTIONS.

IF YOU HAVE A SITE IN WHICH ONE OF THOSE ESTIMATOR ASSUMPTIONS IS

MORE LIKELY TO BE MET THAN

ANOTHER THEN PROBABLY YOU

WANT TO USE THAT.

THE GUIDELINES THEMSELVES DO

MENTIONED THERE ARE SOME

ESTIMATORS IN THE LITERATURE

THAT ACTUALLY SHOULD NOT BE

USED.

THEY ARE THERE BUT MOST PEOPLE,

EVERYBODY BASICALLY AGREES

THEY HAVE FAULTS ASSOCIATE WITH THEM SO THEY SHOULD NOT BE

USED.

SEVERAL OF THEM ARE OUT THERE,

THE ONES I MENTIONED ALL SHOULD GIVE YOU ABOUT THE SAME ANSWER

AS LONG AS THE ASSUMPTIONS ARE

MET.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THANK YOU.

WITH PRECONSTRUCTION AND

DURING CONSTRUCTION, FATALITY

MONITORING IMPACTS THE MODELING

OF DATA.

IN THIS CASE THERE ARE NO

EXISTING TURBINES.

I AM TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE

QUESTION.

SO WE HAVE PRECONSTRUCTION

DURING CONSTRUCTION FATALITY

MONITORING IMPACT THE MODELING

OF POST CONSTRUCTION DATA.

>>MANUELA HUSO: IF I

UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY I THINK

THE ANSWER IS NO.

WHAT WE DO WITH POST

CONSTRUCTION IS WE TRY TO

ESTIMATE THE FATALITY THAT'S BEEN CAUSED BY THE TURBINES.
WE COMPARE THAT WITH THE INFORMATION THAT WE GATHERED FROM PRECONSTRUCTION WITH WHICH WE ESTIMATED PREDICTED FATALITIES AND WE LOOK TO SEE IF WE WERE EVEN CLOSE.
IN TERMS OF USING THAT INFORMATION AT THIS POINT, NO THE DATA THAT WE USE FOR

ESTIMATIONS COMES SOLELY POST CONSTRUCTION.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THANK YOU.

WE HAVE A RATHER LONG QUESTION
HERE SO LET'S GET TO THIS.
YOU PRESENTED A CARCASS
DENSITY PLOTS FOR HELPING TO
DETERMINE SEARCH AREAS.
IS THERE A PARTICULAR SOFTWARE
YOU MIGHT RECOMMEND BE USED TO
CREATE SITE SPECIFIC SEARCH

>>MANUELA HUSO:

PLOTS?

UNFORTUNATELY AT THIS POINT THERE IS NONE OTHER THAN SORT OF THE TYPICAL SOFTWARE THAT IS USED IN STATISTICS.

BUT YOU HAVE TO BE

KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT HOW TO

DEVELOP THOSE MODELS.

SO UNFORTUNATELY, NO THERE'S NO READILY AVAILABLE SOFTWARE YET.

WE ARE WORKING ON SOME

SOFTWARE.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: WE ARE NOT THERE YET.

ALL RIGHT ANOTHER QUESTION, HOW DID A MODEL ACCOUNT FOR

CARCASSES FROM OTHER SOURCES

OF FATALITY?

SO THIS WOULD BE OTHER

FATALITIES OTHER THAN PRESUMED TURBINE STRIKES?

SO IT COULD BE ANYTHING ELSE, LIGHTNING OR SOMETHING ELSE.
>>MANUELA HUSO: AT THIS POINT, THE JOB OF ACCOUNTING FOR THOSE OTHER SOURCES OF FATALITIES IS NOT PLACED ON THE SOFTWARE. THE JOB OF ACCOUNTING FOR THOSE ARE BASED ON THE BIOLOGIST AND THE PEOPLE IN THE FIELD OBSERVING THE CARCASSES.

THERE ARE SOME CARCASSES THAT MIGHT BE ABLE TO INFER PROBABLY WAS NOT KILLED BY A TURBINE. IT MIGHT BE LIKE WITH BIRDS, BUT OTHERS, THERE MAY BE SOME REASON THE BIOLOGIST BELIEVES THEY WERE NOT KILLED BY TURBINES AND IF THAT WAS A CASE THEY WOULD NOT BE ENTERED INTO THE DATASET USED TO ESTIMATE THE FATALITY.

ONCE THE DEBT IS ENTERED IN THE DATASET THE ASSUMPTION IS THERE IS REASON TO BELIEVE IT WAS CAUSED BY THE TURBINES.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THAT MAKE SENSE.

ALL RIGHT ANOTHER QUESTION, HOW DO YOU ACCOUNT THE DENSITY WEIGHTED PROPORTION VALUE THAT IS INPUT INTO THE FATALITY ESTIMATOR SOFTWARE? >>MANUELA HUSO: THERE ARE

SEVERAL WAYS TO DO THAT AND THAT'S WHAT THAT WAS ANSWERING IS THERE SOFTWARE AVAILABLE TO DO THAT YET AND THERE IS NO SOFTWARE DEDICATED TO DOING THAT EXACTLY.

WHAT WE HAVE USED IS MODELS AND THEY WORK QUITE WELL.

THERE OTHER APPROACHES THAT COULD BE USED, BUT IT IS MORE OR LESS A REGRESSION TYPE OF MODEL, UNDERSTANDING THE RESPONSE VARIABLE IS NOT [INAUDIBLE]. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: OKAY.

LET'S GO TO ANOTHER QUESTION WHAT TYPE OF DATA SHOULD THE APPLICANT COLLECT PRE- OPERATION THAT WOULD HELP FORM A BASELINE TO COMPARE TO I WOULD ASSUME POST OPERATION? WHAT AMOUNTS OF MORTALITY IS DUE TO OPERATIONS VERSUS BASELINE MORTALITY? >> MANUELA HUSO: THIS IS A QUESTION THAT COMES UP FAIRLY OFTEN.

I THINK THE WAY YOU COULD DO WITH IS TO DESIGNATE AREAS THAT YOU BELIEVE TO BE REPRESENTATIVE OF WHERE YOU MIGHT ESTABLISH TURBINES FOR THE FUTURE. SOME OF THOSE ARE SET UP ALREADY.

THEY DON'T HAVE TO BE EXACT, BUT IT WOULD REQUIRE THAT YOU GO OUT AND PERFORM SEARCHES AS IF THERE WERE TURBINES THERE AND THAT'S THE ONLY DIFFERENCE. THERE WOULD BE NO DIFFERENCE OTHER THAN THAT.

AND THEN CALCULATE FATALITIES.
SO THAT IS VERY EXPENSIVE THEN
ASKING SOMEBODY TO DO THAT IS UP
TO WHETHER THEY'VE GOT THE
MONEY OR NOT.

OTHERWISE, I'M NOT SURE HOW YOU WOULD GO ABOUT DISTINGUISHING THE BACKGROUND FATALITY FROM CURRENT FATALITY.

ONE POSSIBLE METHOD WHICH IS PERHAPS A LITTLE DIFFERENT IS TO DESIGNATE AREAS THAT ARE FAR ENOUGH AWAY FROM THE TURBINES THEY WOULD NOT BE LIKELY TO CONTAIN TURBINE KILLED AREAS THAT ARE SOMEHOW IN SIMILAR

HABITATS AND SIMILAR TYPES OF CONDITIONS THEY WOULD BE REFLECTIVE OF BASIC BACKGROUND. CONDUCT THOSE STUDIES IN CONJUNCTION WITH YOUR POST CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS. AGAIN IT WOULD BE A LITTLE MORE EXTENSIVE AND YOU WOULD JUST HAVE SOME EXTRA PSEUDO TURBINES OUT THERE TO SEARCH. AND THAT MIGHT GIVE YOU A NICE BASELINE IDEA.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: EXACTLY.

THAT MIGHT ALSO BE AN IDEA FOR TIER FIVE RESEARCH PROJECTS IF IT WAS NOT DONE AT AN INDIVIDUAL FACILITY.

MOVING ON, DO MONITORING
METHODS FOR BATS VARY
SIGNIFICANTLY FROM BIRDS?
>>MANUELA HUSO: I WOULD SAY IN
GENERAL, NO.

PROBABLY THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE IF YOU TAKE THE GROUP OF BIRDS TOGETHER IS BATS ARE GENERALLY SMALLER THAN JUST ABOUT EVERY BIRD OUT THERE.

CERTAINLY NOT ALL OF THEM BUT A LOT OF THEM.

SO THE DIFFERENCE REALLY LIES IN THAT WE PROBABLY DO HAVE TO SEARCH A LITTLE BIT MORE SLOWLY. A LITTLE HARDER IN ABLE TO FIND THE BATS THAT ARE OUT THERE BECAUSE THEY ARE SO SMALL BUT THE GENERAL PROCESS IS VERY MUCH THE SAME.

IT DOES NOT MATTER WHAT SPECIES YOU ARE APPLYING THEM TO. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: DOES PRECONSTRUCTION DESIGN

AFFECT POST CONSTRUCTION STUDY DESIGN?

>>MANUELA HUSO: I DON'T QUITE

UNDERSTAND THAT ONE.
PRETE CONSTRUCTION DESIGN OF
WHAT?

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: I AM NOT SURE, BUT I WOULD TAKE AN INITIAL STAB AT THIS DOES PRECONSTRUCTION STUDY DESIGN AFFECT POST CONSTRUCTION STUDY DESIGN?

TO THE ANTICIPATED TAKE -- WHAT WE ANTICIPATED WOULD OCCUR BUT THE THING IS THAT PRECONSTRUCTION STUDIES OFTEN DO NOT INVOLVE FATALITIES

IF THAT HAS TO DO WITH RELATING

DO NOT INVOLVE FATALITIES
STUDIES.
THEY ARE THERE REALLY TO TRY AND

FIGURE OUT WHAT SPECIES MIGHT BE THERE IF THERE ARE ANY SPECIES WE NEED TO PAY PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO ESPECIALLY FOR SPECIES OF CONCERN OR OTHER ISSUES WE MIGHT NOT BE AWARE OF ON THE INITIAL SEARCH SO THOSE PRECONSTRUCTION STUDIES CAN SOMETIMES PLAY A LITTLE DIFFERENT ROLE WE DO A RISK ESTIMATE AND THE RISK ESTIMATES SOMETIMES CAN GIVE US SOME KIND OF POTENTIAL ESTIMATE OF FATALITIES ESPECIALLY IF YOU ARE DEALING WITH A FEDERALLY LISTED SPECIES THAT NEEDS TO HAVE A TAKE NUMBER.

BUT I WOULD IMAGINE THAT THE POST CONSTRUCTION STUDIES WOULD BE DIFFERENT BECAUSE YOU ACTUALLY HAVE PURCHASES AT THAT POINT IN TIME VERSUS JUST TRYING TO BASES INFORMATION OFF OTHER FACILITIES OR INFORMATION IN THE LITERATURE.

>>MANUELA HUSO: LIKE I SAID IN MY TALK, THE WAY WE WOULD DESIGN FOR BEING ABLE TO DETERMINE

WHETHER I TAKE HAS BEEN
EXCEEDED IS VERY DIFFERENT FROM
WHAT I TALKED ABOUT TODAY.
SO IF THAT IS WHAT HAS BEEN
SIGNALED IN THE
PRECONSTRUCTION, THAT YOU HAVE
A SPECIES OF CONCERN YOU WERE
NOT AWARE OF BEFORE, THEN
DEFINITELY THAT WOULD AFFECT THE
DESIGN.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THAT'S GOOD TO KNOW.

THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT A LARGE PROPORTION OF FATALITIES IN THE GREAT LAKES ARE RELATED TO WHETHER.

IS WHETHER A COVARIANT?

>>MANUELA HUSO: IN ESTIMATING FATALITY ITSELF, IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE.

IF WE WANT TO PREDICT FATALITY IT CAN AND SHOULD BE.

IN ESTIMATING WHAT HAS HAPPENED, THERE IS NO NEED FOR IT TO BE BECAUSE WE ARE JUST BASICALLY BASING OUR ESTIMATE ON WHAT WE FIND.

IF WE FIND A LOT MORE BECAUSE THE WEATHER IS IN THE SITUATION IN A CONDITION THAT LEADS TO MORE FATALITIES WE WILL FIND A LOT MORE AND THAT'S REFLECTED IN OUR ESTIMATE SO WE DON'T HAVE TO TAKE WEATHER INTO ACCOUNT DIRECTLY.

>> ANOTHER QUESTION WHAT IS YOUR GENERAL STANCE ON PROJECT OPERATORS VERSUS THIRD-PARTY TRAINED BIOLOGIST?

>>MANUELA HUSO: I'M NOT SURE I HAVE AN OPINION ON THAT. WHAT I ASSUME IN DEVELOPING THESE MODELS AND TRYING TO COME UP WITH WAYS WE CAN INTERPRET WHAT WE FIND INTO WHAT LIKELY WAS TO HAPPEN I BELIEVE THAT WHOEVER IS DOING THE WORK IS SINCERE AND WELL TRAINED AND THEY KNOW HOW TO GO ABOUT SEARCHING AND HOW TO IDENTIFY THE CARCASSES. SO THE SOURCE OF THEM, OF THEIR PROFESSION, I DON'T KNOW THAT MATTERS. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: THINK THAT IS A GOOD POINT. FROM THE FISSION WHAT LIFE SERVICE PERSPECTIVE, I UNDERSTAND THE CONCERN ABOUT INCIDENTAL MONITORING AND MAYBE HAVING NON-INCIDENTAL MONITORING WHICH IS THE POST

I CAN UNDERSTAND THAT. THAT CAN LEAD TO VERY VALUABLE

THEY TO IT LEAST ONE YEAR OF

CONSTRUCTION STUDIES.

INFORMATION.

PRECONSTRUCTION STUDIES AND THEN FOLLOW UP WITH INCIDENTAL MONITORING AND WE HAVE SEEN WHERE STAFF WHO WORK AT THE FACILITY CAN BE VERY WELL TRAINED TO IDENTIFY CARCASSES AND EVEN IF THEY CAN'T IDENTIFY THE SPECIES. THEY HAVE A PROTOCOL IN PLACE FOR COLLECTING THOSE CARCASSES AND PRESERVING THEM, USUALLY IN A FREEZER UNTIL A QUALIFIED BIOLOGIST CAN COME AND DO THE ACTUAL SPECIES IDENTIFICATION SO I DON'T KNOW IF THE DATA WOULD BE ROBUST ENOUGH ON INCIDENTAL ON THE TRAINED TO DO FATALITY ESTIMATIONS, BUT IT MIGHT BE **USEFUL FOR LONG-TERM** UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT MIGHT BE GOING ON AT THE SITE. >>MANUELA HUSO: THANK YOU I

THINK I MISUNDERSTOOD THE

QUESTION.

THE INCIDENTAL PART WAS THE PART I DID NOT QUITE CATCH.
FOR US TO ESTIMATE FATALITY WE DO NEED TO HAVE AS I SAID IN MY TALK, WE NEED TO HAVE A SENSE OF HOW LONG IT'S BEEN SINCE THAT AREA WAS LAST SEARCHED. INCIDENTAL MONITORING DOES NOT REALLY HAVE THAT SO WE DON'T HAVE ANY WAY OF ESTIMATING WHAT THE PROBABILITY THAT CARCASS HAVING PERSISTED SINCE ITS BEEN KILLED BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE A FRAME OF REFERENCE TO KNOW PROBABLY WAS NOT THERE IN THE

WITHOUT THAT, IT MIGHT GIVE US A LITTLE BIT OF A SENSE OF PATTERNS, BUT WE CAN TRULY ESTIMATE FATALITIES FROM INCIDENTAL. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THAT MAKES SENSE.

LAST ONE.

ANOTHER QUESTION, CAN FAKE BATS BE USED FOR SEARCHER EFFICIENCY TRIAL INSTEAD OF THE USUAL MICE? >>MANUELA HUSO: I DON'T KNOW. THAT IS A GOOD QUESTION TO ASK AND DO SOME RESEARCH AND SEE IF THEY HAVE THE SAME KIND OF SEARCHER EFFICIENCY ASSOCIATED WITH THEM AS WE WOULD HAVE WITH REAL TRUE BATS.

AND WHETHER THEY ARE BETTER THAN MICE.

BUT I DON'T KNOW.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

FAKE BATS DO NOT HAVE THE SAME SENSE.

>>MANUELA HUSO: SEARCHER
EFFICIENCIES, BUT FOR HUMANS IT'S
PROBABLY NOT A BIG DEAL BUT
THERE IS NOTHING ELSE MAYBE
THAT'S AS GOOD AS IT WILL GET BUT
THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION.
>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS MOVE
INTO A FIVE MINUTE BREAK AT THIS
POINT IN TIME AND REMEMBER, ON
OUR WEBSITE TYPE IN THE
QUESTIONS AND WE WILL BE MOVING
ON TO SOME OTHER TRANSPORT
ISSUES AFTER THE BREAK AND I
WOULD LIKE TO THANK MANUELA FOR
JOINING US TODAY.
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

I THINK THIS IS VALUABLE FOR OUR AUDIENCE.

AFTER THE FIND A MINUTE BREAK

WE WILL COME BACK TO THEIR
ROUND TABLE AND ANSWER MORE OF
YOUR QUESTIONS ABOUT TIER 4
REPORTING, COMMUNICATIONS AND
ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT.
>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: ALL
RIGHT WHILE WE ARE BACK WITH
ANOTHER SET OF GUESS.
LET'S CONTINUE OUR DISCUSSION

LET'S CONTINUE OUR DISCUSSION
ABOUT TIER 4 AND THEY'RE ARE A
LOT IN TIER 4 TO COVER SO WE
APPRECIATE YOU ARE HANGING WITH
US AND WE HAVE A LOT TO COVER
TODAY.

BUT I WOULD LIKE TO DO NOW IS INTRODUCE OUR NEXT SET OF GUESS AND WE HAVE JEFF EFFORT FROM THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. WELCOME JEFF.

YOU HAVE SEEN JEFF BEFORE.

HE JOINED US ON OUR FIRST

BROADCAST AND HE IS ACTUALLY

HERE IN THE STUDIO WITH US.

AND HE IS A WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST IN

PORTLAND, OREGON WHERE HE

SPECIALIZES IN RENEWABLE ENERGY

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND

COORDINATION AND HE FOCUSES ON

COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT OF

AVIAN DATA PROTECTION PLANS AND EAGLE CONSERVATION PLANS.

HE ALSO WORKS WITH OVERRIDE OF ENERGY ARENAS INCLUDING GEOTHERMAL, OCEAN RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS AND TRANSMISSION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS.

WELCOME. AND THEN WE HAVE JERRY WHO IS FROM [INAUDIBLE] RENEWAL WILL. WELCOME TO THE SHOW. YOU ARE A WILDLIFE PERMITTING SCIENCE MANAGER AND YOU HAVE SPENT QUITE A BIT OF TIME IN THE **ENERGY INDUSTRY AND EUPHORIC** DONE THE AVIAN AND BATS PROTECTION POLICY OF THE COMPANY TO MEET COMPLIANCE COMMISSIONS AND DIRECTING STUDIES AT THE PROJECTS AND ALSO A MEMBER OF THE WILDLIFE WORKING GROUP FOR THE NATIONAL COLLABORATIVE AND A BOARD MEMBER FOR THE RENEWABLE ENERGY WORKING GROUP OF THE

WELCOME.

WILDLIFE SOCIETY.

AND CERTAINLY NOT LEAST, IS JILL BIRCHELL THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE BUT JILL IS THE SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE OF THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SURFACE OFFICE OF REINFORCEMENT AND THAT INCLUDES CALIFORNIA AND NOW VIA AND SHE HAS BEEN A SERVICE OFFICER FOR 28 YEARS AND SHE HAS WORKED IN FIVE DIFFERENT FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE REGIONS AND SHE HAS DEALT WITH INDUSTRY ON ISSUES FROM BIRD ELECTRIC YOU SHOULDN'T IN ALASKA TO WIND INDUSTRY IN THE SOUTH WEST AND WORKING ON WIND AND SOLAR ISSUES IN CALIFORNIA AND NEVADA SO WELCOME. GLAD YOU COULD JOIN US.

GOOD TO BE HERE. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: NOW WE HAVE OUR EXPERTS WE WANT TO CONTINUE OUR DISCUSSION BECAUSE IT'S NOT JUST SEARCHING FOR CARCASSES AND ESTIMATING HOW MANY MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE BEEN FOUND AND SEARCHER EFFICIENCY EVEN THOUGH THAT'S AN IMPORTANT COMPONENT OF THAT THERE'S MORE TO IT THEN THAT. WHAT DO YOU DO ONCE YOU FIND THESE CARCASSES? HOW DO YOU COORDINATE WITH THE OTHER STAKEHOLDERS INVOLVED? I THINK THAT IS ONE OF THE MOST COMMON QUESTIONS AND THE WIND ENERGY GOT BEEN SPENT A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT THE COMMUNICATION WITH THE SERVICE AND ANYONE ELSE WORKING ON THIS

WE HAVE -- COMMUNICATION IS A VERY GENERAL TERM, BUT I THINK THAT IT INVOLVES MUCH MORE THAN JUST PICKING UP THE PHONE AND SAYING HELLO TO SOMEONE. SO JEFF, IN YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE BIOLOGIST WORKING IN THE FIELD, WHAT DOES COMMUNICATIONS MEAN TO YOU UNDER THE WIND ENERGY GUIDELINES?

SO LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT

COMMUNICATIONS.

>> AS IT RELATES TO TIER 4
COMMUNICATION IS EVERY BIT AS
CRITICAL AS IT IS IN THE FIRST THREE
TIERS OF DEVELOPMENT AND
APPLICATION IN THE WIND ENERGY
DEADLINE.

COMMUNICATION IS A FUNDAMENTAL THEME THROUGHOUT THE GUIDELINES AND WHEN IT COMES TO ESTABLISHING A TRUSTWORTHY PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE

SERVICE AND THE DEVELOPERS THAT ARE TRYING TO IMPLEMENT SUCCESSFUL PROJECTS, COMMUNICATION IS A FUNDAMENTAL PART TO MAKING SURE THE DYNAMIC BACK-AND-FORTH EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION IS WORKING AND EFFECTIVE.

THAT RELATIONSHIP IN MY
EXPERIENCE NEEDS TO BE BUILT ON
TRUST AND IN TIER 4 WHEN IT COMES
TO THE COMMUNICATIONS BACK AND
FORTH WITH THE COMPANY.
THE COMPANY CAN TRUST US AS

SERVICE BIOLOGISTS TO RELAY BACK OUT TO THE FIELD AND THE PROJECT DEVELOPERS NEW OPPORTUNITIES FOR MITIGATION AND NEW ADVANCES IN THE AVOIDANCE OF MINIMIZATION OR POTENTIALLY NEW WAYS TO OPERATE A SUCCESSFUL PROJECT. AT THE SAME TIME,, IF THE COMPANY APPROACHES US WITH A FATALITY REPORT OR POTENTIALLY A CHANGE IN OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT, DIFFERENT THINGS LIKE THAT, IT IS BACK-AND-FORTH.

DO IS MAKE BETTER DECISIONS AND INFORM FUTURE ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROCESSES.
>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:
THAT IS REALLY HELPFUL ESPECIALLY AS WELLOOK AT THIS AS AN ONGOING.

ULTIMATELY WHAT IT ALLOWS US TO

AS WE LOOK AT THIS AS AN ONGOING PROCESS NOT JUST A STATIC PROCESS, NOT JUST SOMETHING WE DO IT THE BEGINNING AND WE ARE DONE.

IT IS A CONTINUUM OF COMMUNICATION AND DIFFERENT TYPES OF COMMUNICATION. LIKE YOU SAID, IT HELPS US TO MOVE FORWARD.

IF SOMETHING DOES COME UP WE DID NOT ANTICIPATE.

I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE TO YOU
JERRY AND THE SAME SORT OF
QUESTION, YOU ARE WITH INDUSTRY,
WHAT DOES COMMUNICATIONS MEAN
TO YOU AS AN INDUSTRY
REPRESENTATIVE?
>> ONE OF THE KEY FEATURES AND
JEFF EXPLAINED IT PRETTY WELL IS
YOU REALLY HAVE TO LOOK AT HOW
YOU COMMUNICATE AND THE

YOU COMMUNICATE AND THE
CONFIRMATION OR IF THERE ARE
ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE TIER 4
DATA DID YOU MEET THE TIER THREE
EXPECTATIONS AND CAN YOU
CONFIRM THAT AND THIS IS A 30 YEAR

PROCESS WITH WHAT YOU ARE
ALLUDING TO THAT IT'S A KEY
FEATURE OF THE ADAPTIVE

MANAGEMENT IS DEALING WITH THE REAL WORLD WITH TIER 4 IS WHERE WE START TO SEE THE REALITY OF WHAT WE'VE BUILT AND WE ARE TRYING TO OPERATE.

ONE OTHER FACET ASSOCIATED WITH THAT IS KNOWING THE STAKEHOLDERS AND DEVELOPING IF YOU WILL, AND ESTABLISHING CHANNELS FOR THAT TYPE OF COMMUNICATION.

LIKE YOU'VE ALLUDED TO, THERE'S A LOT OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF COMMUNICATIONS AND YOU NEED TO WORK WITH STAKEHOLDERS TO FIND OUT WHAT'S THE BEST WAY TO COMMUNICATE AND TO ENSURE THE INFORMATION IS THERE SO YOU CAN WORK ON MUTUAL SOLUTIONS AND WORK TO DEAL WITH THOSE LONG-TERM ASPECTS OF OPERATIONS AND ULTIMATE

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT.
>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: YOU
DO BRING UP A GOOD POINT IT'S NOT
JUST ABOUT WHAT THE FISH AND
WILDLIFE SERVICE WANTS TO SEE

BECAUSE WE MIGHT HAVE ONE WAY
OF COMMUNICATING THAT WE ARE
MOST COMFORTABLE WITH.
BUT THERE MAY BE AN ALTERNATIVE
OR EVEN BETTER WAY OF
COMMUNICATING WITH THE PROJECT
MANAGER, THE COMPANY WHEN IT
COMES TO THIS AND THAT IS A
REALLY GOOD POINT.
IS ESTABLISH HOW YOU -- WHERE ARE
THE BEST METHODS.

- >> ANOTHER REASON AT LEAST IN OUR SITUATION RECOVER A NUMBER OF U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE REGIONS AND THEY HAVE CERTAIN AUTONOMY'S AND RELATIONSHIP SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO GET INTO THOSE AND UNDERSTAND THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND THE SITUATIONS AND MAKE SURE YOU ARE GETTING THE RIGHT INFORMATION.
- >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: EXCELLENT.
- NOW CHILL, AS LAW ENFORCEMENT, COMMUNICATION CAN MEAN COMPLETELY A DIFFERENT THING OR IT FEELS LIKE IT, BUT REALLY, IN THE GUIDELINES WE TALK A LOT ABOUT DOCUMENTATION AND THE PRESERVATION OF THE DOCUMENTATION SHOWING THE ACTIONS, THE RISK ASSESSMENTS THAT WERE CONSIDERED AND TAKEN BY THE COMPANY.

 WHAT DOES THAT MEAN TO YOU AS A
- LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER?

 >> I THINK FOR OUR PURPOSES,
 COMMUNICATION IS A LOT MORE
 THAN JUST PICKING UP THE PHONE
 AND CALLING FISH AND WILDLIFE
 SERVICE.
- IN FACT,, I THINK SOMETIMES THAT IS WHAT A COMPANY MAY FEEL IS ADEQUATE AND THEY CAN CHECK A

COMMUNICATED WITH FISH AMOUNT OF SERVICE AND THEY'VE MET THAT PARTICULAR REQUIREMENT, BUT IT IS A LOT MORE IMPORTANT TO EXCHANGE INFORMATION BETWEEN THE COMPANY AND THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE AND I CAN'T STRESS ENOUGH THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN AS EARLY AS POSSIBLE IN THE PROCESS. THIS EVENT THE COMPANY ESTABLISHES THAT RELATIONSHIP WITH THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE BIOLOGIST AND STARTS GETTING THAT INPUT AND INCORPORATING THE FEEDBACK INTO THEIR PROJECT CITING AND THEIR PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PLANS AND THEN SHARING INFORMATION ABOUT SURVEY RESULTS BOTH PRECONSTRUCTION AND POST- CONSTRUCTION AND THEN ALSO PICKING UP THE PHONE AND MAKING THE HARD PHONE CALL WHEN THEY HAVE SOMETHING TO REPORT.

BOX AND SAY THEY'VE

SPECIES OF CONCERN IS KILLED OR THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT EVENT INVOLVING SEVERAL BIRDS, FOR EXAMPLE.

THE MORE OFTEN AND THIS CAN'T BE STRESSED ENOUGH FREQUENT AND EARLY CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN THE COMPANY AND THE SERVICE ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO MAKE THE WHOLE PROCESS A LOT SMOOTHER AND LESS PAINFUL FOR THE COMPANY.

>> .

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:
ABSOLUTELY I BELIEVE THE WIND
ENERGY GUIDELINES TO TALK ABOUT
THAT.

THERE IS AN ADMINISTRATIVE

BY THE COMPANY -- KEPT BY THE COMPANY SO IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS EVERYONE CAN GO BACK TO THAT RECORDS AND SAY THESE WERE THE CHOICES MADE.
THIS IS HOW THEY DECIDED TO MOVE FORWARD AND HERE'S HOW THEY CAME TO THE SERVICE AND ON THE FLIPSIDE, THE SERVICE ALSO NEEDS TO HAVE A GOOD ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD AS WELL.

RECORD THAT NEEDS TO BE TAPPED

EVEN THOUGH IT IS OFTEN EASIER TO JUST JOT OFF A QUICK E-MAIL OR PICK UP THE PHONE REAL QUICK, SOMETIMES WE NEED TO FOLLOW UP WITH AN ACTUAL LETTER OR MEMO OR SOMETHING TO DOCUMENT THE EXCHANGE.

SO IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS WE CAN ALWAYS REFER BACK TO THAT INTERNALLY AND COMPARE THOSE NOTES WITH WHAT THE COMPANY HAS KEPT.

AT LEAST THAT'S BEEN MY EXPERIENCE.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: -

> ABSOLUTELY.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

LET'S MOVE ONTO ANOTHER

ELEMENT OF TIER 4 I WOULD LIKE TO TALK ABOUT AND I MENTIONED IT EARLIER IN MY PRESENTATION, IS THAT TIER 4 NOT ONLY TALKS ABOUT DEAD THINGS, BUT ALSO TALKS ABOUT HABITAT AND IMPACTS TO HABITAT AND I THINK THIS IS ONE OF THE MOST EXCITING THINGS PROBABLY FROM THE FISH AND

WILDLIFE SERVICE SCIENCE REALM IS
WHERE WE HAVE ACTUALLY PUT INTO

WORDS THE OPPORTUNITIES TO

LOOK AT HABITAT LOSS AND

FRAGMENTATION AND DISTRUCTION, DEGRADATION, WHATEVER YOU

WANT TO CALL IT AND THIS IS NOT JUST A WIND ENERGY THING.
IT'S A LANDSCAPE LEVEL THING.
WE LOOK AT IT FROM A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT IMPACTS, BUT THIS IS THE FIRST TIME WE'VE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO WRITE THIS DOWN AND PUT THESE QUESTIONS TO THE TEST SO WE TALK ABOUT HABITAT AND THE REVIEW OF HABITAT IN THE GUIDELINES AND POTENTIAL MITIGATION.

SO WHEN I TAKE A LOOK AT THIS, THAT SOUNDS GREAT.

BUT IN REALITY WHAT DOES THAT MEAN ON THE GROUND.

JEFF I WOULD LIKE TO GO BACK WITH YOU AS A SERVICE BIOLOGIST AND WHAT ARE YOUR IMPRESSIONS OF THE HABITAT IMPACT AND HOW DO YOU LOOK AT THAT?

WHAT ARE YOUR IDEAS ON IT?

>> PRIMARILY WITH WIND AND/OR G. PROJECTS THIRD TWO TYPES OF HABITAT IMPACT WE ARE

CONCERNED WITH THE DIRECT IMPACT WHICH IS THE DIRECT AND

IMMEDIATE IMPACTS TO THE HABITAT

IN THE AREA SURROUNDING THE PROJECT THAT IS LOST TO THE

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT.

THINGS LIKE THE TURBINES, ACCESS ROADS AND OTHER THINGS.

GENERALLY SPEAKING THOSE

IMPACTS TEND TO BE RELATIVELY

SMALL COMPARED TO THE

FOOTPRINT OF THE ENTIRE PROJECT AREA.

POTENTIALLY ON A LARGER SCALE, INDIRECT HABITAT IMPACTS ARE CONSIDERABLY MORE A SOURCE OF CONCERN WHEN YOU HAVE VERY TALL STRUCTURES ON A RELATIVELY FLAT LANDSCAPE OR HAVE SOMETHING THAT GENERATES A LOT

OF NOISE THAT MAYBE IN DIRECT IMPACT FOR A VARIETY OF SPECIES. SO MOST OF THE TIME WHEN WE TALK ABOUT HABITAT IMPACTS WE TALK ABOUT BIRDS BUT THINGS WE WOULD TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION IN THE EARLIER TIERS ARE POTENTIALLY FRAGMENTING HABITATS FOR BIG GAME WINTER RANGE OR MIGRATION CORRIDORS OR OTHER CRITICAL HABITAT WE'VE NOT REALLY -- THAT WE DON'T ALWAYS TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION WHEN WE HAVE SOMEWHAT OF A CLOSE SCOPE ON BIRDS OR THINGS THAT WOULD BE FLYING THROUGH THE TURBINES. SO TIER 4 GIVES US A GOOD OPPORTUNITY AS PART OF OUR POST CONSTRUCTION IMPACT ASSESSMENT TO VALIDATE WHAT WE'VE LEARNED AND WHAT WE SAW IN THE FIRST THREE TIERS OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND MAKE SURE FROM THE HABITAT POINT OF VIEW WE'VE GOT THINGS RIGHT. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: THINK THAT IS A VERY IMPORTANT ELEMENT THAT WE HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY TO INVESTIGATE. AS WE ARE SEEING IN GENERAL, WE NEED TO CONSIDER THESE LANDSCAPE LEVEL ISSUES AND THESE HABITAT ISSUES LIKE YOU SAID FOR EVEN LAND-BASED LARGE GAME SPECIES. JERRY, IN THE INDUSTRY, HOW DOES THE INDUSTRY THINK ABOUT THIS AND WHAT HAVE BEEN YOUR EXPERIENCES WITH THE HABITAT **IMPACT QUESTION OF TIER 4?** A LOT OF THE EFFORT IS FOCUSED ON AVOIDANCE ESPECIALLY CRITICAL HABITATS FOR DEPENDING ON THE PROJECT THE CIRCUMSTANCES.

BUT IN THE CASES OF DIRECT LOSS, THERE'S A VARIETY OF MITIGATION MEASURES INSTITUTED WITH ACQUISITIONS, CONSERVATION AND MITIGATION BANKS.

A VARIETY OF MEASURES TO SECURE THAT REPLACEMENT HABITAT. THAT IS WHAT THE DIRECT LOSS. THE DEGRADATION CERTAINLY DEPENDS ON THE SITE AND THE SPECIES AS JEFF WAS ALLUDED TO THAT ARE PRESENT BUT A LOT MORE DIFFICULT TO DETERMINE AND A LOT OF THE 4 BE STUDIES ARE INGRAINED AND HAVE LIMITATIONS WAS TRYING TO GET A GENERAL FEEL IF THERE'S SOMETHING HAPPENING, BUT WITH SOME OF THE SPECIES LIKE SAGE GROUSE ETC. THEY ARE BETTER SUITED FOR THE TIER FIVE WORK OR COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH WORK SO YOU CAN USE THAT INFORMATION IN A CONTROLLED SENSE AND NOT

AND SOMETIMES WHAT WE'VE DONE IS WE'VE MADE ASSUMPTIONS ON WHAT WE THINK THE DEGREE AND AFFECT OR LOSS WILL BE.

COMPOUNDED BY A SERIES OF DIFFERENT ITEMS SO IT'S TRY TO

ESTABLISH THAT.

WE'VE MADE THAT A FACTOR IN OUR OVERALL LOSS MITIGATION EFFORT.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: THAT'S A VERY VALUABLE POINT BECAUSE A LOT OF US WE DON'T

NECESSARILY KNOW HOW THE ANIMALS MAY REACT TO THIS

OCCURRENCE ON THE LANDSCAPE AS WE HAVE SEEN WITH SAGE GROUSE. THERE WERE SOME IMPACTS WE DID

NOT NECESSARILY ANTICIPATE BUT THEN ON THE OTHER HAND, THIS IS ALSO LARGER SCALE SO MAY BE DIFFICULT TO DO PROJECT BY

PROJECT BUT IF WE LOOK AT IT IN A

- LARGER SENSE, THERE MAY BE EVEN MORE OPPORTUNITIES.
- >> AND TRYING TO CONTROL FOR VARIABLES AND PUT A PROJECT UP AND SAY THAT IS THE FACTOR THAT CAUSED THE EFFECT, THERE COULD BE OTHER FACTORS GOING ON OR YOU COULD HAVE SOME WEATHER ISSUES THAT MAY RESULT AT LEAST WITH CHICKENS IT COULD BE A BIG FACTOR.
- SO THERE ARE A NUMBER OF THINGS THAT MAY CONFOUND WHAT YOU TRY TO DO IN THE STUDIES.
- IT DOES NOT MEAN YOU DON'T DO SOME THINGS ARE TO GET A FEEL FOR THAT, BUT TO ME IT'S A REAL TIER 5 COMPONENT.
- >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: I'D LIKE TO MOVE ONTO ANOTHER QUESTION.
- AND THIS ONE ACTUALLY I KNOW ALSO GETS TO THE HEART OF A LOT OF QUESTIONS FOR MANY PEOPLE AND THIS IS REPORTING OF FATALITIES.
- AND HOW THIS IS HANDLED BY INDUSTRY.
- I KNOW THERE IS A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT IT AT THE FIELD LEVEL AND THERE IS SOME SUPPOSITION ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS.
- THERE'S ALSO SOME REALITY OF WHAT HAPPENS.
- SO JERRY, I WOULD LIKE TO THROW
 THIS BACK AT YOU AGAIN AS AN
 INDUSTRY PERSON, HOW DO YOU
 HANDLE DEAD THINGS, YOU COME UP
 TO A TURBINE AND THERE IS A DEAD
 BIRD, BAT, HOW DO YOU HANDLE
 THAT?
- >> ONE THING I WANT TO POINT OUT, I REALLY WANT TO TELL JILL HOW MUCH I HAVE APPRECIATED HER BEING ABLE TO SIT DOWN AND TALK

THROUGH SOME OF THESE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT HOW TO HANDLE THIS.

REALLY INFORMATIVE.

FROM THE STANDPOINT OF THE INDUSTRY, ACTUALLY WE PROVIDE REPORTS OF OUR POST CONSTRUCTION FATALITY SERVICE IS ONE COMPONENT.

ANOTHER'S INDIVIDUAL INSTANCES, BUT WE ARE ALWAYS BALANCING THOSE WITH THE TENSION OF THE LEGAL ASPECT.

SO WE PREPARE REPORTS WITH FATALITY ESTIMATES THAT FULFILL A SERIES OF MIGHT BE INTERNAL POLICIES WITH IBERDROLA BUT THOSE POST CONSTRUCTION FATALITY STUDIES ARE CONDUCTED HOPEFULLY FOLLOWING THE WIND BATTERED JEEP GUIDELINES AND SUITABLE PROTOCOLS AND MADE UP RUN 1-3 YEARS DEPENDING ON THE PROTOCOLS WHERE YOU ANALYZE THE INFORMATION AND COMPARED AND THE KEY IS THE COMPARISON BACK TO TIER THREE OR THE REGIONAL NORMS.

ARE YOU SEEING WHAT YOU EXPECTED AND PREPARED IN A REPORT AND THAT IS PROBABLY THE MAJOR WAY THAT WE TRY TO PROVIDE FATALITY INFORMATION BUT THERE'S ALSO THIS INCIDENT ASPECT AND THAT CAN BE LONG TERM BECAUSE THAT COULD BE A FACET THAT GOES ON FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT, 30 YEARS WERE YOU DEAL WITH EVENTS AND THOSE EVENTS COULD BE STATE, FEDERAL, THEY COULD BE EAGLES OR THEY COULD BE RARER EVENTS LIKE A LARGE FATALITY OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT WHERE WITH THAT DISCOVERY VIEW REPORT THAT TO THE APPROPRIATE

STATE OR FEDERAL AGENCY AND THAT GOES BACK TO COMMUNICATION CHANNELS BUT IT'S DONE IN A MUCH MORE URGENT BASIS, 24-48 HOURS IS PROBABLY THE NORM WE TRY TO WORK WITH. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: YOU MENTIONED TALKING TO JILL AND IT IS TRUE, JILL, YOU HAVE A REALLY GOOD WAY OF EXPLAINING THE PROTOCOLS. SO IF YOU WOULD NOT MIND, IF YOU WOULD GO INTO A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT FATALITY REPORTING FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE.

>> AS JERRY MENTION, THERE ARE KIND OF TWO WAYS TO LOOK AT FATALITY REPORTING.

YOU HAVE YOUR SORT OF ANNUAL REPORTS PROVIDED TO THE VARIOUS GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WHETHER FEDERAL STATE OR LOCAL AS REQUIRED BY PERMITS FOR THE COMPANY POLICY.

THOSE ARE IMPORTANT.
BUT THERE IS ALSO THE INCIDENT
REPORTING AND I THINK FOR LAW
ENFORCEMENT IT'S VERY IMPORTANT
WE ARE BROUGHT INTO THE LOOP
FOR THOSE KINDS OF INCIDENTS.
FOR EXAMPLE, ENDANGERED
SPECIES, EAGLES, MASS
MORTALITIES, AND WE WILL BE
BROUGHT IN ONE WAY OR THE OTHER
AND I THINK IT'S PLAYED OUT OVER
TIME THAT COMPANIES THAT REPORT
DIRECTLY TO US OR TO THE LOCAL
BIOLOGIST WHO SHARES
INFORMATION WITH US IF THERE'S

THAT KIND OF RELATIONSHIP
ESTABLISHED AHEAD OF TIME AND
THERE'S THE SHARING OF
INFORMATION, WE KNOW WHERE THE
COMPANY IS IN DEALING WITH THE
SERVICE AND THE INCIDENT REPORTS

DON'T HAVE THE NEGATIVE IMPACT THAT THEY MIGHT OTHERWISE IF THIS IS A COMPANY THAT THIS IS THE FIRST TIME WE HEAR FROM THEM IS WHEN THERE'S A MASS MORTALITY FOR EXAMPLE, AND WE HEAR FROM AN NGO OR WE DISCOVER IN OURSELVES, THEN IT'S CLEARLY A LAW-ENFORCEMENT MATTER AND WE WILL START DIGGING IN AND WE START FROM THE BEGINNING LOOKING AT ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD AND THE WHOLE HISTORY OF THE PROJECT AND THE EFFORTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE. SO REPORTING I KNOW CAN BE SORT

OF TRICKY FOR COMPANIES, BUT IT REALLY IS, AND IT'S CERTAINLY BEEN MY EXPERIENCE IN MANY COMPANIES WILL ATTEST TO THIS, IT IS BETTER TO REPORT YOURSELF THAN HAVE SOMEBODY ELSE REPORT OR HAVE LAW ENFORCEMENT FIND OUT THEMSELVES BECAUSE THEN THERE WILL BE A FULL-BLOWN INVESTIGATION AND EVERYTHING

THAT COMES WITH THAT.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: ABSOLUTELY.

I KNOW YOU AND I HAVE TALKED WHILE WE HAVE BEEN PREPARING FOR THIS BROADCAST AND I THINK IT IS INTERESTING THAT LAW **ENFORCEMENT HAS SUCH A BROAD** ROLE.

IT'S BROADER THAN WE ACTUALLY REALIZE.

YOU DON'T JUST NECESSARILY COME IN WHEN SOMETHING IS HAPPENED AND SOMEONE HAS CALLED SHOOT YOU CAN PLAY A LARGER ROLE IN INTERACTING.

EXACTLY WE TRY TO DO THAT ESPECIALLY WITH WIND BECAUSE WITH THE ELECTRIC UTILITY

INDUSTRY FOR EXAMPLE, THERE ARE WAYS TO FIX PROBLEMS IF THEY ELECTROCUTE A BIRD, THERE ARE RETROFIT MEASURES THAT CAN BE PUT IN PLACE.

CERTAINLY FROM THE BEGINNING THEY CAN INSTALL BIRD FRIENDLY **EQUIPMENT WHICH HELPS MINIMIZE** AND AVOID THOSE KINDS OF TAKES. BUT WITH WIND, AS WE ALL KNOW, ONCE THEY ARE INSTALLED, THERE'S NOT A LOT THAT CAN BE DONE. IT IS SO IMPORTANT FOR COMPANIES TO DO WHAT THEY CAN UP FRONT. THEIR OPTIONS ARE A LOT BROADER RANGING IF THEY WORK WITH THE SERVICE DURING THEIR CITING DETERMINATIONS, DURING THEIR DESIGN IMPLEMENTATION AND SO WE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT TRY TO BRING TO THEIR ATTENTION EVEN BEFORE THEY BUILD THAT WE UNDERSTAND YOU ARE LOOKING AT BUILDING THIS PROJECT AND YOU NEED TO GET AHOLD OF OUR BIOLOGIST.

WE TRY TO GET AHEAD OF THAT BECAUSE IT IS SO IMPORTANT IN TERMS OF WHAT IS AVAILABLE TO THEM FOR REMEDIATION WANTS TURBINES ARE UP AND SPINNING. THERE'S JUST NOT A WHOLE LOT THAT CAN BE DONE.

WE DO HAVE A VERY IMPORTANT ROLE I THINK IN HELPING TO EDUCATE COMPANIES AND TO PUT THEM ON NOTICE ABOUT THE POTENTIAL LOSS THAT COULD BE IN FOLKS IF THEY BUILD AND THEY IMPACT SPECIES OF CONCERN OR MIGRATORY BIRDS WHICH ARE FEDERALLY PROTECTED. SO WE REALLY WANT THEM TO DO WHAT THEY CAN UP FRONT AND AVOID TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.

SO EDUCATION HAS BECOME A LARGE PART OF WHAT WE DO IN THIS CONTEXT.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

EXCELLENT.

AND THANK YOU.

I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THAT BROADER ROLE. JEFF, AGAIN AS A SERVICE BIOLOGIST IN THE FIELD, WHEN TAKE OCCURS, WHAT HAPPENS, WHAT IS YOUR EXPERIENCE WHEN SOMETHING HAPPENS AND THE COMPANY CALLS YOU, HOW DO YOU HANDLE THAT? MOST OF THE TIME WHAT HAS HAPPENED IN MY EXPERIENCE IS A COMPANY, FOR LACK OF A BETTER ANALOGY WINDS UP THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE PHONE TREE. I WILL GET A TELEPHONE CALL AND A SUPPLEMENTAL E-MAIL OFTEN WITH PICTURES OF THE EVENT AND AT THE SAME TIME, THE BIOLOGIST AT MY LEVEL RECEIVE THAT INFORMATION THEY ARE REACHING OUT TO THE

LAW ENFORCEMENT. EVERYONE IS MADE AWARE OF WHAT HAS HAPPENED.

LOCAL FIELD OFFICES AS WELL AS

IF IT'S SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE COLLECTED IT'S OUR FIELD OFFICE WERE ON FOR SMITH'S AT THE GOES OUT AND MAKES THE COLLECTION.
MOST OF THE COMPANIES I'VE HAD THE PLEASURE OF WORKING WITH HAVE BEEN FORTHRIGHT IN IMPLEMENTING EXACTLY WHAT JILL WAS DESCRIBING.

IT HELPS ALL OF US IN THAT CRITICAL COMPONENT OF THE TIERED APPROACH TO DOING ALL OF THIS WITH THAT POSITIVE FEEDBACK LOOP.

IF SOMETHING NEGATIVE MAY HAVE OCCURRED ON THE LANDSCAPE BUT

IT'S A TOOL FOR ALL OF US TO LEARN FROM, IDENTIFY A POTENTIAL SOURCE FOR DOING THINGS BETTER AND FEEDBACK INTO THAT ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT LOOP AND MAKE BETTER DECISIONS ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:
AGAIN WE COME BACK TO
COMMUNICATION, BUILDING THAT
RELATIONSHIP AND WORKING
TOGETHER THROUGH THESE ISSUES.

SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO NOW IS TAKE A FEW QUESTIONS FROM OUR AUDIENCE.

I HAVE NOTICED A FEW QUESTIONS HAVE ROLLED IN.

ONE OF THE FIRST QUESTIONS IS IS THERE A LIST OF SPECIES OF HABITAT FRAGMENTATION CONCERNED AND WE DO NOT HAVE NECESSARILY A LIST WRITTEN DOWN AT THIS POINT IN TIME.

WE ARE WORKING WITH STATE
AGENCIES AND THE ASSOCIATION OF
FISH AND WILDLIFE AGENCIES TO
DEVELOP CRITERIA FOR PUTTING
DOWN THESE SPECIES.

WE FIGURED THAT MOST WILDLIFE SERVICES AND BIOLOGIST HAVE A LIST OF SPECIES THAT ARE PARTICULARLY AT RISK FOR HABITAT FRAGMENTATION BUT THE SPECIES ARE NOT NECESSARILY DOCUMENTED IN THAT WAY.

IN ORDER TO DO A TIER 4 ANALYSIS, YOU NEED TO HAVE THAT LIST OF HABITAT FRAGMENTATION CONCERNS.

WE WILL TALK ABOUT THIS ISSUE IN A FUTURE BROADCAST.

IT MAY WELL BE THE NEXT BROADCAST WHICH WE ARE ANTICIPATING WILL BE AROUND THE JANUARY /FEBRUARY TIMELINE WHERE WE CAN TALK FURTHER
ABOUT THE CRITERIA AND THE
DEVELOPMENT OF THIS LIST OF
SPECIES OF HABITAT
FRAGMENTATION CONCERNS.
WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO NOW IS
MOVE TO ANOTHER QUESTION.
OFTEN PRECONSTRUCTION SURVEYS
ARE DIFFERENT THAN
POST- CONSTRUCTION AND FOCUS
MORE ON SERVING SPECIES
PRESENT.
DO THESE SURVEYS NEED TO BE THE

- DO THESE SURVEYS NEED TO BE THE SAME?
- I THINK I WILL THROW THIS ONE AT JEFF FIRST.
- [CHUCKLING] AND I THINK YOU ARE CAPABLE OF HANDLING THIS QUESTION.
- >> IN ORDER TO HAVE
 STATISTICALLY VIABLE DATA THAT
 CAN BE REALLY USED TO VALIDATE IN
 TIER 4 WHAT WE'VE DISCOVERED OR
 LEARNED IN THE FIRST COUPLE OF
 TIERS, THERE ARE SO SPECIFIC
 SEARCH PROTOCOLS, SOMETHING
 THAT MANUELA WAS SPEAKING
 ABOUT EARLIER THAT SHOULD BE
 USED TO PRODUCE DEFENSIBLE
 INFORMATION.
- SO WHEN IT COMES -- IF THE
 PURPOSES ARE TO VALIDATE WHAT
 WE LEARNED IN THE EARLIER TIERS
 WITH LATER TIER SURVEY, TO HAVE
 THE SURVEYS DONE IN A WAY THAT IS
 DEFENSIBLE ACCORDING TO SPECIFIC
 PROTOCOL, WE CAN USE THAT TO
 VALIDATE A FATALITY MODELS, SOME
 OF THE SETTING
- RECOMMENDATIONS, SOME OF THE OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS AND THAT SORT OF THING.
- ALSO AS MANUELA WAS POINTING OUT EARLIER, IT SEPARATES THE INFORMATION WE LEARNED IN THOSE

STRUCTURED POST- CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS VERSUS WHAT WE FIND INCIDENTALLY WHICH IS VERY IMPORTANT TO SEPARATE ALL OF THAT OUT.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: ABSOLUTELY.

I THINK THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT.

AND AGAIN THERE CAN BE

DIFFERENCES IN PRE- AND

POST- CONSTRUCTION BUT IF YOU

ARE THINKING ABOUT

POST- CONSTRUCTION, EVEN DURING

PRECONSTRUCTION, EARLIER TIERS,

THEN YOU WILL MAKE YOUR

ANALYSIS EVEN STRONGER.

SO I THINK THAT'S VERY HELPFUL.

THANK YOU.

CAN YOU STILL COMPLETE TIER 4
WITH SIMPLY DOING FATALITY

ESTIMATES SURVEYS?

AND, YOU KNOW, JERRY, WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THAT QUESTION? CAN THEY JUST COMPLETE TIER 4 BY SIMPLY DOING FATALITY ESTIMATES

SURVEYS?

>> A QUALIFIED YES.

I THINK AS JEFF HAS ALLUDED TO DEPENDING ON WHAT YOU'RE DEALING WITH FROM TIER 3, YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT WHAT YOUR PURPOSE IN THOSE FATALITY SURVEYS OR ANY OTHER SURVEY YOU ARE CONDUCTING OUT THERE AND THERE MAY BE A SITUATION WHERE YOU DON'T HAVE A LOT OF CONCERNS BUT WHAT YOU WANT TO DO IS GO IN AND VALIDATE WHAT YOUR EXPECTATIONS FROM TIER 3 WERE ON THE FATALITY LEVELS AND THAT MIGHT BE ADEQUATE FOR WHAT

YOU ARE DEALING WITH.
THERE MAY BE OTHER PROJECTS IN
THE VICINITY YOU CAN USE TO LOOK
AT AND CAN REINFORCE THAT.

SO YES BUT A QUALIFIED YES.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THINK THAT IS FAIR.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

JEFF DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU

WANTED TO ADD TO THAT?

I THINK JERRY COVERED IT.

A QUALIFIED YES.

[CHUCKLING]

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THAT'S ALL RIGHT.

NON-OF THIS IS BLACK AND WHITE.

THIS IS ALL A LEARNING CURVE.

WE ARE ALL FIGURING THIS OUT.

WE ARE DOING IT TOGETHER AND

ESPECIALLY WITH SOME OF THE

PRE- AND POST- CONSTRUCTION

SURVEY QUESTIONS.

I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT NO TWO PROJECTS ARE THE SAME.

EVERY PROJECT IS BUILT IN A

DIFFERENT HABITAT.

EVERY PROJECT DECIDED BASED ON

THE UNIQUE CHARACTERISTICS OF

THE FACILITIES AND THE COMPANY IS

ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT AND AVOID

AND EACH PROJECT IS DIFFERENT

AND THEREFORE, IT GIVES US A

UNIQUE CHALLENGE AS FAR AS RESPONSIBLE SITING AND

IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION

BUT AT THE SAME TIME UNIQUE

OPPORTUNITIES TO PRACTICE

AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION AND

TO REALLY GET THINGS DONE RIGHT

OF THE LANDSCAPE FROM A

CONSERVATION STANDPOINT.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

THANK YOU.

MOVING ONTO THE NEXT QUESTION. WHAT LEGAL RESPONSIBILITIES DOES

ONE FACILITY HAVE TO REPORT

VIOLATIONS OF THE MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY ACT ALSO KNOWN AS MBTA

AND JILL, WOULD YOU LIKE TO TRY THAT ONE FIRST?

>> AS MOST PEOPLE KNOW BY NOW, ANY DEATH OF A MIGRATORY BIRD THAT IS CAUSED BY SOMETHING OR SOMEBODY IS TECHNICALLY A VIOLATION OF THE MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY ACT BECAUSE IT DOES STICK -- TRICK BOBBITT SAID OF THE BIRD DIES AND YOU CAUSE IT, YOU ARE GUILTY.

WE DON'T TREAT EVERY BIRD DEATH AS A VIOLATION.

THERE ACTUALLY IS NO BROAD REQUIREMENT THAT SOMEBODY REPORT AND IN THIS CASE WHEN THE FACILITIES REPORT BIRD DEATHS TO THE FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. HOWEVER,, DEPENDING ON WHAT STATE YOU'RE IN THERE'S USUALLY SOME SORT OF EITHER LOCAL, STATE OR FEDERAL PERMIT ASSOCIATED WITH THAT WIND FACILITY AND THEY MAY VERY WELL HAVE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

BUT YOU KNOW, AGAIN THERE'S NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REPORT SOMETIMES.

THE COMPANY HAS FOR EXAMPLE, A. FEDERAL PERMIT AND THERE ARE SOME REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER THAT BUT THAT HAS MORE TO DO WITH THE BIRDS THEY'VE PICKED UP TO CONDUCT THEIR STUDIES OR WHATEVER.

IT IS USEFUL TO KEEP TRACK OF THAT AND HAVE THAT INFORMATION ON HAND FOR THE COMPANY'S OWN PURPOSES, BUT ALSO AGAIN IT GOES TO THE BIG PICTURE OF HOW THE COMPANY IS EXERCISING THEIR DUE DILIGENCE AND IF THEY ARE DOING -- MAKING THE RIGHT KIND OF DECISIONS ABOUT SITING IN THE BEGINNING AND CONSULTING WITH

THE SERVICE AND IMPLEMENTING
THE RIGHT KIND OF SURVEYS AND
MONITORING AND MOST IMPORTANT
LINK FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE
ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT WHEN THEY
IDENTIFY A PROBLEM IT'S NOT JUST
ABOUT COUNTING UP BIRD BODIES
ARE REPORTING INCIDENTS, IT'S
ABOUT DOING SOMETHING TO FIX THE
PROBLEM.

THAT'S ULTIMATELY WHAT IT COMES DOWN TO FROM A LAW

ENFORCEMENT STANDPOINT.

WHAT IS REASONABLE FOR A COMPANY TO DO TO AVOID TAKE AND

THEN WHEN PROBLEMS ARE

IDENTIFIED, TO FIX THAT TAKE.

SO AGAIN REPORTING IS NOT

ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED BUT

CERTAINLY ENCOURAGED.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

ABSOLUTELY.

AND SPECIAL PURPOSE UTILITY WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?

>> THAT'S FOR INDUSTRY AND THERE'S ONE AVAILABLE FOR WIND AS WAS THE OTHER INDUSTRIES AND SPECIFIC FOR THE TYPE OF INDUSTRY THEY COVER.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

GREAT.

THANK YOU.

JERRY DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU WANTED TO ADD?

>> CHILL BROUGHT UP THE GOOD POINT OF THE PERMIT FOR HANDLING NOT REALLY A WAY TO REPORT OR DOCUMENT FATALITIES SO I THINK THAT IS A GOOD POINT AND THERE IS ONE VENUE, THERE ARE PLACES WE ARE STARTING TO SEE THIS INFORMATION COMPILED AND THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS WITH THEIR RESEARCH INFORMATION SYSTEM IS WE TALK ABOUT ADAPTIVE

MANAGEMENT BUT IF WE DON'T GET THE DATA TO LOOK AT THE SITUATION THAT'S WHERE SOME SITUATIONS OF OPPORTUNITIES LIKE THAT WHERE VON INTERIOR REPORTING HAVE BEEN USED TO HELP THE INDUSTRY IN GENERAL.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE IS
TRYING TO PUT TOGETHER A MORE
ROBUST DATABASE SO THAT WE CAN
USE THAT INFORMATION OR AT LEAST
PUT IT INTO CONTEXT SO WE KNOW
WHETHER IT CAN BE USED FOR
FATALITY ESTIMATES OR A GENERAL
SENSE OF WHAT MIGHT BE GOING ON.
THAT'S SOMETHING WE ARE WORKING
ON.

AMERICAN WIND WILDLIFE INSTITUTE, WE KNOW PEOPLE ARE READING THESE VERY QUICKLY AND WHERE DO YOU SEE THESE ACRONYMS.
SO HERE IS A QUESTION THAT WE HAVE SEEN BEFORE, OIL AND GAS HAS BEEN HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR VIOLATIONS UNDER THE MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY ACT WENT WILL WIN THE FACILITIES TO HELP TO THE SAME STANDARD?
I WILL GO BACK TO JOE FOR THIS QUESTION.

>> I'VE HEARD THAT QUESTION BEFORE.

LAW ENFORCEMENT HAS VIRTUALLY
THE SAME APPROACH WITH ALL
INDUSTRY AND THAT IS -- THIS IS
SOMETHING WE'VE DONE FOR YEARS
AND IT'S BEEN PUT INTO OFFICIAL
LAW ENFORCEMENT POLICY, WE
PROVIDE NOTICE TO A COMPANY
WHEN A PROBLEM IS IDENTIFIED OR A
PROBLEM IS ANTICIPATED WE PUT
THE COMPANY A NOTICE AND LET
THEM KNOW WHAT LAWS ARE
IMPLICATED AND WE ENCOURAGE

COMPLIANCE IN THAT NOTICE AND THEN WE PROVIDE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO CORRECT THE PROBLEM.

AGAIN IN SOME INDUSTRIES LIKE OIL AND GAS, IT'S EASIER TO DO. SO WE HAVE A PATTERN OF DEALING WITH OIL AND GAS WHERE WE LET THEM KNOW THERE'S A PROBLEM. FOR EXAMPLE, A. OPEN PITS THAT NEED TO BE NETTED AND WE PROVIDE THEM NOTICE AND GIVE THEM TIME TO FIX THE PROBLEM BEFORE WE GO OUT AND TAKE ENFORCEMENT ACTION. THAT IS OUR APPROACH WITH

INDUSTRY IN GENERAL.
AGAIN GETTING BACK TO WIND, THE
DIFFICULTY IS THERE ARE LIMITED
OPPORTUNITIES TO CORRECT.

COMPANIES DON'T LIKE THE ONES THAT ARE REALLY ON THE TABLE WHICH IS CURTAILMENT, SHUT DOWN OR MOVING THE TURBINES.

SO AGAIN, GETTING BACK TO THE WHOLE IMPORTANCE OF PICKING THE RIGHT SITE AND PUTTING THE RIGHT DESIGN TO A WIND FACILITY IS SO IMPORTANT AND CONSULTING WITH THE SERVICE EARLY ON IS SO IMPORTANT BECAUSE WHEN WE GET TO THE POINT WHERE LAW ENFORCEMENT GETS INVOLVED WITH

WIND TAKE, -- TAKE A PROTECTED SPECIES, IT'S A LOT MORE DIFFICULT FOR THEM AND A LOT MORE EXPENSIVE QUITE FRANKLY BUT WE ARE ACTIVELY INVESTIGATING SOME WIND FARMS OUT THERE AND WE ARE VERY NEAR HAVING OUR FIRST CASE CHARGED.

SO IT'S NOT THAT WE ARE TREATING THEM DIFFERENTLY IT'S JUST A LOT MORE DIFFICULT.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD TAKES

A LONG TIME TO PUT TOGETHER FOR US TO DECIDE WHICH COMPANIES NEED TO BE CRIMINALLY PROSECUTED.

WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'VE GOT THE WHOLE COMPLETE PICTURE AND THAT IS TIME-CONSUMING AND TAKEN A WHILE, BUT WE ARE ALMOST THERE.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: IT'S NOT A VERY STRAIGHTFORWARD THING LIKE YOU SAID.

THEY'RE VERY US CONSIDERATIONS THAT COME INTO PLAY AND THAT INCLUDES THE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COMPANY AND WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE.

BUT I THINK THE REAL TAKE AWAY HERE IS WE ARE NOT TREATING WIND ANY DIFFERENTLY THEN ANY OTHER INDUSTRY IT'S JUST WE HAVE A LONGER HISTORY WITH OIL AND GAS AND WIND IS RELATIVELY NEW AT THIS SCALE AND WE ARE STILL LEARNING WHAT HAPPENS WITH WIND.

SO IT IS JUST WHERE WE ARE ON THE LANDSCAPE.

ALL RIGHT, TAKING ANOTHER
QUESTION, DO THE
POST- CONSTRUCTION AND
RECOMMENDATION IN COULD RISK
ASSESSMENT OF ANY NEW ELECTRIC
TRANSMISSION LINES THAT MAYBE
PART OF THE WIND PROJECT?
JEFF, I WOULD LIKE TO THROW THIS
QUESTION OVER TO YOU.
HOW DO YOU VIEW THIS?

>> OVERWHELMINGLY, YES.
VERY FEW NEW WIND FACILITIES ARE
LOCATED IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO
A SUBSTATION OR TRANSMISSION
LINE THEY COULD TIE INTO SOME
MOST PROJECTS WE ARE INVOLVED
IN REVIEWING AT THE MOMENT TO

HAVE SOME CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSMISSION LINES AND TO SOME EXTENT DISTRIBUTION LINES ASSOCIATED WITH THEM.

IN SOME CASES THEY ALSO INVOLVE
A SUBSTATION AS WELL.
TYING BACK TO WHAT WE WERE
DISCUSSING EARLIER THERE ARE
DIRECT AND INDIRECT HABITAT
ASSESSMENTS OR HABITAT IMPACTS
FROM THOSE NEW FEATURES ON THE

LANDSCAPE.

AS FAR AS THE RISK ASSESSMENT GOES, THE FISH IN MY LIFE SERVICE DOES RECOMMEND THAT NEW TRANSMISSION LINES ASSOCIATED WITH THE NEW PROJECT ARE IMPLEMENTED WITH THE LATEST AND GREATEST RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE COMMITTEE.

FROM THE COMMITTEE.
JERRY I MIGHT ASK YOU TO
ELABORATE ON THAT A LITTLE BIT
BUT BEFORE WE GO THERE, IN MANY
CASES, NEW PROJECTS ARE
DEVELOPED WITH FEDERAL LAND
NEXUS AND THAT TRIGGERS A
VARIETY OF IMPACT ASSESSMENTS.
THINGS LIKE IF YOU ARE BUILDING A
NEW PROJECT ON BML GROUNDED
THERE'S A TRANSMISSION LINE
RUNNING THROUGH THAT THEY
CONSULT WITH THE SERVICE ON THE
TRANSMISSION LINE AND

OKAY. THANK YOU AND JERRY, SINCE THIS WAS THROWN A LITTLE BIT IN YOUR CORNER, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU'D LIKE TO ADD?

EVERYTHING ASSOCIATED WITH IT. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

>> THE COMMITTEE HAS A LONG
HISTORY OF DEALING WITH THE
DISTRIBUTION LINES AND THE
POTENTIAL ELECTROCUTION AND THE

TRANSMISSION LINES THEY HAVE DOCUMENTED GUIDANCE PROVIDED ON COLLISION FACTORS. SO THERE IS SOME VALUABLE RESOURCES THERE THAT COULD BE GAINED FROM THIS INFORMATION. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: THANK YOU.

THIS LOOKS LIKE ANOTHER ONE FOR YOU JILL.

ASIDE FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION

AND RESTITUTION ARE THERE
ALTERNATIVE MECHANISMS IN PLACE
SUCH AS UPFRONT MITIGATION AND
THEN ACTUAL BIRD MORTALITY?
SO I SENT THIS OVER TO YOU JILL BUT
CRIMINAL PROSECUTION
ALTERNATIVE MECHANISMS AND
THAT'S WHEN WE START GETTING
INTO MITIGATION AND I ALMOST FEEL
LIKE THOSE ARE TWO DIFFERENT
SIDES OF THE ISSUE.
LWILL THROW THIS TO YOU BUT THEN

I WILL THROW THIS TO YOU, BUT THEN MAYBE GOING OVER TO JEFF AS WELL.

>> SURE.

WHAT I WOULD SAY TO ANY COMPANY THAT'S ASKING A QUESTION ABOUT WHAT CAN THEY DO TO LESSEN THEIR LIABILITY AT WHATEVER POINT THEY ARE IN THEIR DEVELOPMENT OR OPERATION, WAITING FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION TO HAPPEN IS NOT GOING TO BE YOUR BEST ACTION. IF YOU KNOW YOU HAVE A PROBLEM. THE BEST THING TO DO IS COME INTO THE SERVICE AND IDENTIFY THE PROBLEM, TRY TO WORK WITH THE SERVICE TO RESOLVE THE END DOWN THE ROAD FOR WIND, THAT POINT WILL BE MORE PAINFUL THAN IT WOULD BE UPFRONT BUT IT'S BETTER TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEM AND TRY TO RESOLVE IT THAN TO WAIT FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION BECAUSE

WITH PROSECUTION THERE ARE FINES, RESTITUTION, POTENTIAL JAIL TIME DEPENDING ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES BUT WHAT WE ARE ALL AFTER HERE IS FIXING THE PROBLEM.

AVOIDING IT IDEALLY BUT FIXING IT

ONCE IT'S BEEN IDENTIFIED SO THE COMPANY GETS IN FRONT OF THAT AND DOES EVERYTHING THEY CAN TO FIX THE PROBLEM, THEY MAY AVOID CRIMINAL PROSECUTION.
SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE ANY COMPANY THAT HAS A PROBLEM, KNOWS IT AND IS NOT SURE WHAT TO DO THE BEST THING THEY CAN DO IS

BRING IT FORWARD AND ADDRESS IT.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

FIX THE PROBLEM.

THAT'S A GREAT PERSPECTIVE THEN I WANT TO TAKE YOUR THOUGHT FURTHER AND SAY THIS IS ALSO PART OF THAT ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT. BUT ALSO IDENTIFYING SOME OF THOSE OPPORTUNITIES BEFORE YOU EVEN GET THERE. SOME OF THOSE MITIGATION

OPPORTUNITIES SO THAT AGAIN WE ALL KNOW WHAT SOME OF OUR ACTIONS MIGHT BE IF AN UNEXPECTED EVENTS HAPPENS. SO JEFF, WHEN THEY TALK ABOUT UPFRONT MITIGATION FOR MODEL THAN ACTUAL BIRD MORTALITY IS, YOU'VE PUT TOGETHER SOME PLANS THAT INCLUDED THIS.

CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR US SOME OF THESE UPFRONT MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES?

>> SURE.

IN KIND OF A NUTSHELL, ONCE YOU HAVE A CONCEPT WORKING THROUGH THE FIRST COUPLE TIERS OF THE IMPACT YOUR PROJECT IS LIKELY TO HAVE NOT DEFINITIVELY

WILL HAVE BUT REASONABLY FORESEEABLE IMPACT YOU CAN BEGIN TO ADVANCE MITIGATION TO OFFSET THOSE IMPACTS BEFORE THE PROJECT IS EVEN ON THE LANDSCAPE.

AND THEREFORE, WE PASS A LITTLE BIT -- WE HAVE AN EASIER TIME PASSING BEEN NO NET LOSS CONCEPT WHERE THE EFFECTS OF A PROJECT TEND TO BE PRETTY IMMEDIATE ON THE LANDSCAPE AND RELATIVELY LONG-TERM AND IT'S NICE TO SEE MITIGATION PACKAGES PUT FORTH ON THE LANDSCAPE THAT ARE EQUALLY RESILIENT AND LONG-LASTING ON THE LANDSCAPE AND COMPARABLE TO WHAT WE THINK THE IMPACTS MIGHT BE. WE GET INTO A LOT MORE DETAIL WITH THIS WHEN WE DISCUSSED EAGLE PERMITTING AND EAGLE LOSS AND EAGLE TAKE UNDER THE BALD EAGLE PROTECTION ACT AND IT'S A SUBJECT OF ADDITIONAL FUTURE WIND ENERGY BROADCASTS I HOPE. BUT WHEN IT COMES TO THINGS LIKE DIRECT HABITAT LOSS, OR EVEN QUANTIFYING INDIRECT HABITAT LOSS, THERE'S A VARIETY OF TOOLS AVAILABLE TO HELP DO THAT WHICH INFORMED THAT MITIGATION PROPOSAL THAT IDEALLY WOULD BE IN PLACE BEFORE THE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT TAKE PLACE.

>> IF I COULD ADD TO THAT, JEFF MAKES A GREAT POINT ABOUT THE BENEFIT THAT WE CAN GET UPFRONT AND THE MORE THAT'S DONE TO OFFSET IMPACT, THE BETTER. I DO HAVE TO POINT OUT THAT UNLESS AND UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE HAVE MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY ACT TAKE PERMITS THERE'S NOT AN

OFFICIAL ACT LIKE THERE IS UNDER THE EAGLE TAKE ACT SO WE ENCOURAGE COMPANIES TO OFFSET A MINIMIZE THEIR TAKE OF MIGRATORY BIRDS BUT THERE IS NO OFFICIAL MITIGATION PROCESS UNDER MBTA LIKE THERE IS UNDER THE EAGLE PROTECTION ACT. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: I'D LIKE TO MOVE ONTO ANOTHER QUESTION.

THE FISH ON WHAT LIFE SERVICE HAS TALKED ABOUT DEVELOPING INCIDENTAL TAKE REGULATIONS FOR THE MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY ACT FOR YEARS.

WE DO NOT HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE

ANY PROGRESS OR PLANS TO DO THIS?

FROM THE PROGRAM HERE WITH US TODAY AND THEY WOULD BE THE PROGRAM IN THE FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE THAT WOULD HANDLE A TAKE PERMITS UNDER THE MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY ACT WHAT I DO UNDERSTAND IS THIS IDEA HAS BEEN FLOATED IN FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE AND IT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED BUT NO DECISIONS HAVE BEEN MADE AT THIS POINT IN TIME SO WE DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER INFORMATION TO GIVE YOU ON THAT. ALL RIGHT SO YOU KNOW JERRY. I THINK THIS NEXT QUESTION WOULD BE GOOD FOR YOU, IS MOVING WIND TURBINES CONSIDERED FEASIBLE? I'VE NOT SEEN A JOHN DEERE YOU COULD HOOK UP TO TURBINES THAT COULD MOVE IT. THAT WAS SORT OF A JUST. BUT THAT ASIDE, IT'S FEASIBLE, BUT

IT COMES DOWN TO THE PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES AND SITUATIONS.
I THINK JILL ALLUDED TO THERE IS A SERIES OF FACTORS ONE COULD

UTILIZE ASSOCIATE WITH A
PARTICULAR TURBINE AND IF THERE
IS A REASON IT HAS AN ISSUE,
LOOKING AT WHAT WE CALL A
ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT OR ROOT
CAUSE ANALYSIS, WHAT FACTORS
ARE GOING ON AT THAT PARTICULAR
TURBINE THAT IS CREATING AN ISSUE.
WE BUILD THEM.

YOU CAN DEFINITELY DECOMMISSION A TURBINE THAT IS A VERY REALISTIC?

I WOULD THINK THERE'S A WHOLE SERIES OF OTHER OPTIONS ONE COULD CONSIDER FIRST.

>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: THINK YOU ARE RIGHT.

USUALLY MOVING A TURBINE IS NOT WHAT WE ARE LOOKING AT.

MOVING IT IT MAY JUST BE HAVING IT NON-OPERATIONAL.

OR ALTERING IT SO THAT -- AND THAT IS PART OF CURTAILMENT AS USED IN THE WIND ENERGY GUIDELINES BECAUSE THAT TERM HAS BEEN DEFINED MULTIPLE WAYS BUT, CHANGING THE SPEED AT WHICH THE BLADES BEGIN TO SPIN OR HAVING IT IN BREAK MODE OR SLOWING DOWN THE SPIN SO IT IS JUST FREEWHEELING DURING MIGRATION

THOSE ARE OPERATIONAL MODIFICATIONS THAT CAN BE MADE TO REDUCE THE IMPACT AND LIKE YOU SAID, ALL OF THOSE WOULD PROBABLY BE CONSIDERED WHILE BEFORE DECOMMISSIONING OF THE ACTUAL TURBINE.

EVENTS.

>> ANOTHER THING WITH THE INDUSTRY OF THE RESEARCH GOING ON WITH BCI, AWWI AND OTHERS DETERRENTS ARE BEING LOOKED AT AND A WHOLE SERIES OF THINGS THAT ARE BEING EXAMINED THAT

MIGHT BE IN THE FUTURE THAT
WOULD ALLOW FOR ENHANCING THAT
OPERATION SO I THINK THAT
RELOCATION OR MOVING IS VERY
LOW ON THE TOTEM POLE.

- AT THE BOTTOM OF YOUR LIST OF PREFERRED WAYS TO DEAL WITH IT BUT IF THE PROBLEM WERE SIGNIFICANT ENOUGH AND THE COMPANY SHOULD KNOW BETTER THAN TO PUT THE TURBINE THERE AND WHATEVER THE TAKE ISSUE IS TO TAKE IT DOWN THEN THAT MAYBE THE ONLY SOLUTION AND IF WE COULD NOT COME TO THAT AGREEMENT WITH A COMPANY IN THE WORST CASE SCENARIO A JUDGE COULD VERY WELL ORDER THAT. IT WOULD BE THE EXTREME MEASURE, BUT IT COULD HAPPEN. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:
- THAT'S A GOOD POINT JAIL AND THERE MAY BE SITUATIONS THERE THAT ARE EXTREME HOPEFULLY WE AVOID THEM AND THAT'S THE WHOLE POINT OF THE GUIDELINE IS WE DO NOT GET TO THAT POINT WHERE THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE THAT EXTREME.
- SO LET'S MOVE ON TO ANOTHER QUESTION, WHETHER RELATED MASS TURBINE FATALITIES CAN BE MITIGATED BY CURTAILMENT NOT DAYS OR MONTHS BUT A MATTER OF HOURS.
- SHOULD WE THEN CONDUCT TIER FIVE RESEARCH TO STUDY THE WEATHER WIND TURBINE MASS FATALITY INTERACTION. AND ABSOLUTELY.
- FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND THEIR EFFORTS TO LOOK AT WHETHER A

FENCE AND HOW THEY CAN
INFLUENCE THE MOVEMENT OF
SPECIES THROUGH THE AIR AND WE

HAVE SEEN CORRELATIONS WITH VARIOUS WEATHER ELEMENTS, BUT WE ARE STILL REFINING THAT INFORMATION FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND.

SO IT'S NOT THAT IT'S NOT BEING DONE.

WE ARE STILL LEARNING ABOUT IT
AND THERE ARE SO MANY ELEMENTS
TO BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION
BUT JERRY HAS IBERDROLA LOOKED
AT WHETHER AND FATALITIES IN WIND
TURBINE INTERACTION?

>> WE ARE LOOKING AT FOR EXAMPLE, VISIBILITY HAS SHOWN TO BE A MAJOR CONCERN AND USING VISIBILITY IS AN OPERATING PARAMETER AND THEN WHEN YOU LOOK AT AGAIN BACK CONSERVATION INTERNATIONAL AND SOME THINGS WITH USGS, THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF RESEARCH GOING ON TRYING TO LOOK AT THIS AS A PREDICTIVE ASPECT AND HOW IT CAN FACILITATE OR OPTIMIZE OPERATIONS WHILE REDUCING THE POTENTIAL FATALITIES.

THAT REGARD.
>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES:

SO A LOT OF THINGS HAPPENING IN

EXCELLENT.

THANK YOU.

JEFF DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO THAT?

>> THERE IS A REALLY GOOD
EXAMPLE OF AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
THE COMMUNICATION TO WORK BACK
AND FORTH BETWEEN THE PROJECT
DIRECTORS AND FISH THEM WHILE
LIFE SERVICE.

IN MANY CASES THEY WILL DEVELOP SEVERAL YEARS OF PRE- AND PROJECT WEATHER DATA THROUGH THE TOWERS AND THAT DATA SET CAN BE VERY VALUABLE IN TERMS OF PREDICTING THINGS LIKE WEATHER EVENTS THAT MAY IMPACT MIGRATION ROUTES OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

IDEALLY, A LOT OF THIS INFORMATION ARE THE KINDS OF THINGS WE GATHER IN TIER 1 AND TIER TWO AND TIER 3 AS WELL.

A LOT OF THE MIGRATING CORRIDORS USING MIGRATION AS AN EXAMPLE WHEN COMBINED WITH THE WEATHER IS SENT CAN PRESCRIBE MITIGATION IN TERMS OF HOURS VERY SPECIFIC TIMELINES ARE CIRCUMSTANCES RATHER THAN DAYS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

A LOT OF THE WHETHER OFFENSE THAT ARE USED BY THE SPECIES THAT ARE MIGRATING ARE ALSO OVERLAPPED WITH GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES WHETHER IT'S BAT MIGRATING DOWN WHEREVER CORRIDORS OR EAGLES OR RAPTORS MIGRATING DURING THE DAY DOWN CLIFF EDGES WHERE THEY TAKE A BANDAGE OF THE THERMALS AND THAT KIND OF THING SO TYPICALLY A LOT OF INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO HELP US MAKE THE INFORMED DECISIONS ON THE FRONT-END THAT CAN BE USED TO TAILOR AND CRAFT MITIGATION OR THINGS LIKE CURTAILMENT TO VERY SPECIFIC **EVENTS THAT ARE NOT AS EXTREME** AS A MONTHLONG SHUTDOWN OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: OKAY.

I THINK YOU ARE RIGHT.

WE ARE MOVING IN THE DIRECTION OF HAVING MORE KNOWLEDGE THAT WE CAN APPLY AND APPLY IT VERY SPECIFICALLY.

AND MORE ACCURATELY.

AND I THINK THAT IS TERRIFIC.

AGAIN, IT LEADS BACK TO THE UTILITY OF THE TIER FIVE RESEARCH PROJECT WHICH WE DON'T HAVE TIME TO GET INTO BUT THAT INFORMATION HAS FED IN AS WELL TO THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION ABOUT A SPECIFIC PROJECT IN A VERY PARTICULAR LOCATION.

SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
WE HAVE ONE LAST QUESTION, I'M
NOT SURE IF WE CAN ANSWER
QUICKLY BUT LET'S GIVE IT A SHOT.
HAVE SOME STUDY SHOWED THAT
MIGRATORY FLIGHTS ARE LESS
LIKELY TO TAKE PLACE DURING BAD
WEATHER, FALLING BAROMETRIC
PRESSURE.

QUICKLY, JEFF AND JERRY, DO YOU HAVE ANY?

- >> I THINK WE MIGHT BEST ANSWER
 THAT AFTER IN A WRITTEN FORM.
 I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE RIGHT
 ANSWER WOULD BE FOR THAT.
 >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: IT
 COULD WELL BE PART OF ANOTHER
 WIND ENERGY BROADCAST THAT
 INCLUDES THE LATEST ON
 RESEARCH.
 SO, WITH THAT WHAT I WOULD LIKE
- TO DO IS ASK OUR GROUP AND THE LAST OBSERVATIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO SHARE AND I WOULD LIKE TO START WITH YOU JEFF. YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU'D LIKE TO SHARE?
- >> I WILL STEAL EVERYBODY'S THUNDER WHEN I RECOMMEND WE ALL CONTINUE TO COMMUNICATE EARLY AND OFTEN.
- >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: THAT IS A POPULAR TERM.
- >> IT WORKS.
- >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: JERRY, HOW ABOUT YOURSELF?

>> DOCUMENT AND DEMONSTRATE.
BUT, THE ONE THING I WOULD THROW
OUT IS THE LONG-TERM ASPECT WE
REALLY DO NEED TO LOOK AT ONE
ASPECT WIND ENERGY GUIDELINES
DOES NOT COVER IS THE
LONGER-TERM MONITORING AND
ASSESSING WHAT THE EFFECTS
MIGHT BE AN LOOKING AT THE
OPPORTUNITIES TO DO INCIDENTAL
OR SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATIONS WITH
UTILIZING OPERATIONS PERSONNEL.
>>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: ALL
RIGHT.

THAT IS FAIR.

WORDS TO TALK ABOUT.

AND JILL, YOUR FINAL THOUGHTS.

>> I WOULD SAY FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE IS VERY IMPORTANT COMPANIES NOT ONLY HAVE THE COMMUNICATION AND SHARE INFORMATION, BUT THEY ACTUALLY IMPLEMENT THE SERVICES RECOMMENDATIONS.

THAT'S WHAT WE LOOK FOR WHEN WE LOOK AT THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD IF THEY'VE DONE EVERYTHING THEY SHOULD HAVE TO AVOID TAKE THAN THE LIKELIHOOD THEY WILL FACE A LAW ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATION AND POTENTIAL PROSECUTION IS VERY LOW.

AND THE LAST THING I WOULD ADD IS IF THERE ARE PERMITS AVAILABLE, THEY SHOULD APPLY.

COMPANY SHOULD APPLY FOR THEM. I WILL THROW THIS OUT FOR THOSE IN EAGLE COUNTRY, DON'T WAIT UNTIL YOU KILL AN EAGLE. START WITH YOUR EGO CONSERVATION PLAN SOONER RATHER THAN LATER AND APPLY FOR AN EAGLE TAKE PERMIT.

THEY ARE AVAILABLE AND IF YOU

DON'T APPLY THAT'S AN AWFUL LOT -- AND UNLAWFUL TAKE THAT WILL BE TAKEN SERIOUS. >>CHRISTY JOHNSON-HUGHES: WE WILL TALK MORE ABOUT THAT. THANK YOU AGAIN FOR JOINING US TODAY WITH OUR THIRD WIND **ENERGY BROADCAST.** LIKE I SAID, WE HOPE TO HAVE ANOTHER WIND ENERGY BROADCAST IN JANUARY OR FEBRUARY. YOU WILL BE NOTIFIED OF THE DATE. OF COURSE, THIS WILL BE ARCHIVED ON OUR WEBSITE ALONG WITH ALL OF THE RESOURCES THAT WE MENTIONED DURING THIS **BROADCAST AN ADDITIONAL BASIC** LEARNING POINTS.

THANK YOU FOR JOINING US TODAY.